


Heritage and Destiny



£3.00 / \$5.00 Issue 28

Stand Men of the West - Today is the day we fight!


April-May 2007

England First Party move forward



**Simon Bennett (left) and Steven Smith - co-architects of Burnley BNP -
celebrate their move to the England First Party**

**Steven Smith is now EFP party chairman and will contest Burnley's Cliviger with
Worsthorne ward at the May 2007 Council elections**



Heritage and Destiny: Stand men of the West - today is the day we fight!

Editor: Mark Cotterill; Assistant Editors: Martin Kerr and Peter Rushmore
Webmaster: Carl Clifford; Sales Manager: Anne Wright
UK address: P.O. Box 331, Blackburn, BB1 2WU, Great Britain.
U.S. address: P. O. Box 6501, Falls Church, Virginia 22046, USA.
Tel: (44) 07833 677484; Website: www.efp.org.uk
ISSN 1741-8941; Electronic Mail: heritageanddestiny@yahoo.com

Opinions expressed in articles are the authors' own, and should not be taken to represent the editorial viewpoint of *Heritage and Destiny*.



Editorial

Welcome to Issue 28 of *Heritage and Destiny*. Many of you will already know that I have decided to retire as a Councillor at the May 3rd 2007 local elections. Earlier this month I informed Blackburn with Darwen Borough Council Chief Executive Graham Burgess of my decision to step down. As explained in the letter to Mr. Burgess, I am selling my house in Blackburn and moving to Preston, where I have been offered a job outside of politics.

This change of lifestyle will also mean that I will no longer be able to devote the time and effort to the England First Party that it deserves due to the ever increasing membership and the establishment of new groups – especially outside of the North West. So taking all this into account I have also decided to step down as party Chairman and have handed over the leadership of the EFP to Steven Smith. Steven is currently the party's Burnley organizer and EFP candidate for Cliviger and Worsthorne ward.

Before stepping down as EFP Chairman I also appointed Simon Bennett as the EFP's Campaign Officer (replacing Russell Turner) and Press Spokesmen. Paul Bamford will remain Deputy Chairman and Treasurer and I will remain as party secretary and the EFP's Nominating Officer for the near future - as well as *H&D's* Editor.

I announced my plans on retirement as EFP leader and Meadowhead Councillor to a meeting of party officials and activists earlier this month, and I quote from my speech;

"In many ways it is sad to be stepping down, but I know the party will be in safe hands under the new leadership of Steven Smith. I am sure that Steven and the new leadership team will take the EFP forward and we can also look forward to even greater success than we achieved last May when we won two local council seats. This year – apart from Blackburn with Darwen – we are standing candidates in Burnley; Ribble

Valley; Pendle and Chesterfield – and who knows maybe a couple of other places as well. Remember the EFP was only formed in August 2003, and in less than four years we have become the most successful English nationalist party ever. If everybody sticks together and we don't get cold feet, we can ride the coming storm out. We are the future – Our day is coming."

The local left-wing newspaper the *Lancashire Telegraph* (known locally as the *Labour Telegraph*) published a completely false statement claiming that I was leaving the EFP. We pointed out straight away that this was a lie, however as we go to press the *LT* have failed to publish a correction. The *LT* also reported – correctly this time – that my fellow EFP Councillor Michael Johnson, was quitting the EFP and joining up with a former Liberal Democrat candidate to form a new party – the FOR DARWEN PARTY. However we should point out that although Michael Johnson was an EFP councillor – he was not a paid up member of our party.

H&D Readers wishing to contact the new Chairman direct, should write to – Steven Smith, 7 Westview, Overtown, Cliviger, Burnley, BB10 4TG or Tel. **07969 356859** or E-mail – pearl.smith@ntlworld.com


As always please send us your letters, press cuttings, photos, artwork and most importantly your quality articles and reviews (book, movie, CD and DVD reviews are most welcome). We also welcome any comments, suggestions or criticisms you might have.

If you are an active Nationalist, why not buy some extra copies of this issue to give out (or sell them!) at your next branch meeting. We also need your regular donations – however large or small, every Dollar,

Pound or even Euro counts. Please try and send in whatever you can afford

And finally best of luck to all true nationalist candidates standing in the forthcoming local elections on May 3rd - Peter Rushmore has written a preview of the elections on page 8, and we will of course have a full report with all the main results in our next issue.

Thanks once again for your support, together we will win.



**EFP chairmen old and new:
Mark Cotterill (right) with
Steven Smith (left)**

Heritage and Destiny

Heritage and Destiny reflects a cross-section of 21st century racial nationalist opinion. It is independent of all political organisations and parties. Unless stated otherwise, views expressed in signed articles or letters in *Heritage and Destiny* are the sole responsibility of the writers concerned and do not necessarily reflect the views of the editor or other staff.

We welcome enquiries from prospective advertisers, to whom rates will be sent on request. However the editor reserves the right to refuse to publish any advertisement submitted, without giving reasons. The appearance of any advertisement in *Heritage and Destiny* does not necessarily indicate that the editor knows anything about, endorses or is interested in the product, organisation, event or service being advertised.

Contributors and advertisers should bear in mind that *Heritage and Destiny* is subject to the laws of the United Kingdom, including our infamous race laws. Refusal to publish certain items should therefore not be taken as a personal or political affront! The editor is pleased to receive articles for possible publication, preferably by disk or email. No payment is made for articles. Unless the writer specifically requests otherwise, articles become *Heritage and Destiny* copyright. The editor reserves the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles submitted for publication. Those wishing to republish *Heritage and Destiny* items in other formats should be sure when doing so to give public acknowledgment to both the writer and *Heritage and Destiny* and to include in the acknowledgment the magazine's full address.

Multi-racialism is destroying our race

Racism - "The mechanism by which Aryan society is to be stripped of its capacity to defend itself against the genocide of multi racialism"
- Steven Smith February 2007

Question - How do you systematically destroy an entire race of people, their history and their culture without them even realising it's happening? Simple! Invent a psychological process of conditioning which in time will create a society so uniform of thought and appearance as to render it impotent and devoid of reasons to defend itself. This forced elimination of difference is achieved in a number of ways, one of which is by closing down our ability to choose freely by telling us that discrimination (a natural consequence of choice!) is wrong, though this process without you realising it forces you to select those elements which are detrimental to your survival.

The creation and use of this fraudulent language by our enemies makes it nothing less than a revolution of the mind in its attempts to transform and mould our thinking. This is very often referred to as reverse psychology and represents a declaration of war on all we hold dear. Nature's laws say "take up the challenge or die", with the consequence of victory for our enemies being the extermination of our racial, cultural and historical identity.

Another more obvious method the enemy uses is to forcibly and physically mix the races in schools and in the work place. Imagine the process of grinding and pounding down the separated elements in a mixing bowl to a point where they become one substance. To force compliance with this mixing process they intimidate by the use of words like *racist*. Just like a circus ring master cracks his whip to force his animals to comply with his demands. Very simple and extremely clever, especially when one considers that they effectively destroy our race and culture with our full co-operation and without us even realising it is happening! If we expose them and have our people see the enemy in the same way we do, we cannot fail to win. It really is that simple.

Integral to the process of this mixing is the technique of elevating minorities whilst simultaneously dumbing down Western society. The most obvious example here is making exams easier to pass so that racial distinctions become blurred. The empowerment of minorities is evidenced when one examines the fact that whilst respective ethnic groups are allowed to discriminate (freely choose!) in favour of their own kind, the majority races in Western societies may not, lest they are accused of racism (a fraud which forcibly strips Aryans of their capacity to defend themselves against the genocide of multiracialism). Hence Jewish schools, Jewish nurseries etc, etc.

Here it seems that whilst it is acceptable to segregate on the basis of a God who "may not exist" (and mutilate baby boys at the point of

a knife!) it is not acceptable to segregate and preserve the integrity of a race which "does exist" and whose preservation is essential to the continued existence of our civilisation. When it comes to our destruction the intangible instinct to preserve our race and nation must necessarily be dismissed, whilst the intangible sacred cows of our enemies (gods) must be legally protected.

The fault with this strategy of course in accordance with the laws of nature is that these immigrants are now building up their very own power bases, most obviously in the form of Islam and Zionism, which will in the fullness of time and with a fair wind displace not only our society but also our government. Both of these groups have already put in place their respective governments in waiting, in the form of the Board of Jewish Deputies and the Muslim Council of Britain.


Of course we are not helped in all of this by our willingness to feed the problem. Which of course is what we do whenever we hand them money for taxi rides, ritually slaughtered kosher and halal meat and when we buy the enemy financed newspapers. On the subject of Islam (though I could just as easily have mentioned the Jewish bible which is equally unkind to us gentiles) it is worthy of note that as infidels we are regarded as low life, not even worthy of the truth, so that when the great and the good of the Muslim community issue edicts in the aftermath of a terrorist attack stipulating their condemnation of violence, it potentially means absolutely nothing. In fact one might suggest that they secretly support it!

This makes our already racially fractured and religiously divided society very dangerous indeed. These Islamic proclamations then must be regarded as part of a defensive strategy which must be implemented while they build their numbers and strength. If we do not act the simple passing of time and the pressure of their ever increasing numbers will seal our fate.

Our selection criteria, as it is for Jews and Muslims, should always be instinct based and founded upon the hidden laws of nature which follow an agenda outside

the realms of those who artificially create for us all an environment over which they have total and complete dominion. Thankfully day by day nature is building up its case for the prosecution! The problem of course with all of this artificiality is that confusion arises when these state sponsored systems of behaviour inevitably come into direct contact and conflict with our natural systems of behaviour. The results being predictably negative in the form of resentment, frustration, unease, stress, and even hatred, all thanks to those who forced this undemocratic, unnatural misery upon us all in the first place.

In brief then we are having our freedoms stripped away by deceit



While White Britons are sacrificed to multiracialism, other ethnic groups are encouraged to maintain their identities by spokesmen such as Michael Whine (above) of the Board of Deputies of British Jews and Ibrahim Master (below) of the Lancashire Council of Mosques.



and sleight of hand. As a race and as a nation we are under attack and are being deliberately exterminated. Our minds are being deliberately manipulated and conditioned to accept this fate as an inevitability. Our strategy therefore should be to expose our enemies for who they are, what they are "deliberately" doing, how they are doing it and what their agenda is, all with a view to having our people see the enemy in the same way we do. This should be our message in our leaflets, at our meetings, on our web sites, in media interviews, letters to the press and of course on our sandwich boards!

Effectively the Aryan race and everything it stands for is to be destroyed to facilitate the creation of a racially divided and culturally fragmented society unable to summon the unifying strength needed to bring down those who are attempting to create a one world government, so powerful it will have little or no opposition.

Behavioural compliance via regulation is key to our enemy's strategy. Regulation is not conducive to a creative mind and straightjackets the behaviour and thinking of the masses, hence communist governments' enthusiasm for it.

Laws, laws and more laws. Bills, bills and more bills, get it!

Words also are becoming increasingly meaningless as the longer term effects of immigration take hold. Words are irrelevant, all we have is the future reality of what will happen if we do not act. If we are talking, which is what they want us to do, then we are not acting, i.e. we are not directly affecting events as they happen on the ground. We are inactive as they keep us preoccupied with talk of tolerance, while day by day we are being out bred and displaced by ever increasing numbers of immigrants. Debate is a pointless exercise if you believe as I do that words are simply the mechanism of expression of an instinct which cannot be compromised. In other words you may very well lose the argument as a consequence of being unable to articulate your deep seated instinctive feelings and beliefs, but you probably would not change your views which are instinct or nature based. In the end it is not debate or argument which wins the day, it is force and the possession of the greater power which forces compliance with the will of the other, and our enemies know this full well.

Part of our enemy's agenda is to create chaos and instability, from which they presume they will rise like the phoenix! Our enemies also make simple problems deliberately more complicated (in this way they maintain and perpetuate the problem) by for example labelling wrongdoing as "challenging behaviour", so ensuring not only the work load but also the future existence of the unnatural psychiatric, legal, and probation industries which feed off the misery which they perpetuate via their neglect and wilful negligence of our natural state and the natural world in which we live.

Executing drug dealers and murderers would solve our problems in one fell swoop. Capital punishment is morally superior as it is a deterrent, not to mention being cheaper than indefinite incarceration, but this obvious solution would also deprive vested interests of their jobs, their salaries and their status in society, so they predictably rubbish this very simple and extremely effective idea and continue to condemn us all to be mugged, burgled and

murdered in perpetuity. Allowing the early signals of despair (e.g. petty theft) to mature into say armed robbery, instead of immediately killing those signals off via say a public flogging, is further evidence that it is we not they who occupy the moral high ground.

The left are cruel, vindictive and vicious. Their history has resulted in millions more deaths than any resulting from fascism. Liberal sentencing for murder exposes their pretence of holding human life dear and devalues life as of course is their intention. A civilised society should be measured by the degree to which you do not tolerate uncivilised behaviour.

Human life is not sacred. It is part of the natural world, the component parts of which are dependant upon the primary forces of strength and will to survive. A further illustration of the left's capacity to inflict hurt and injury can be seen in the public appeals that are having to be made to have people come forward and adopt children who are the product of mixed race relationships.

Such children are the real victims, shunned by both black and Aryan communities, and shine so brightly the light which exposes the

evil of the enforced and unnatural multiracial society, denying as it does the natural state of both black and Aryan peoples to live and flourish amongst their own kind.

Steven Smith, Burnley, Lancashire



Any effort by White Britons to stage militant street demonstrations such as this one would be swiftly crushed by the authorities



H&D Polo shirts now back in stock

We now have for sale *Heritage and Destiny* polo-shirts (in black only). These attractive shirts have the H&D logo of the Knight on horseback carrying the Union Jack flag (in full color) with the words *Heritage and Destiny* underneath. They are available in small, medium, large, X large and XX large for only £18.00 or \$33.00 USA. For overseas orders please add another £2.00 (\$3.00) for postage. These are very good quality shirts, not the rubbish you get from the Far East. They have been made in Lancashire by a BNP member.

Germany's Shame

- the jailing of Ernst Zündel and why it matters to all Britons

Canadian citizen Ernst Zündel was sentenced to five years in a German prison on February 15th 2007. This savage sentence was imposed for "crimes" which in most of the world would be perfectly legal - namely the expression of unorthodox historical opinions.

Now aged 67, Zündel moved from Germany to Canada in 1958 and became a successful graphic artist. In 1977 he set up Samisdat Publishers, and became increasingly well known for publishing revisionist historical material.

Zündel faced criminal trials in Canada in 1985 and 1988, but was eventually acquitted by the Canadian Supreme Court in 1992. Facing renewed persecution from the ironically named Canadian Human Rights Commission in the late 1990s, he moved to the United States in 2000, settling in Tennessee and marrying Ingrid Rimland, who now runs the website www.zundelsite.org.

In 2003 the process which led to this year's jail sentence began when the U.S. authorities deported Zündel to Canada and the Canadian authorities used a controversial "national security" law to deport him to Germany. Zündel is the only individual ever to have been deported under this law, which was ruled unconstitutional by the Canadian Supreme Court days after the conclusion of his case and conveniently too late to save him.

As detailed in the new DVD *Jailing Opinions* (reviewed in the last *H&D*), a coordinated move is under way worldwide to extend laws against such scepticism and unorthodoxy. Ten European countries now have laws specifically forbidding Holocaust revisionism, and the new German government is seeking to extend such laws across the continent.

Nor are traditionally free nations across the Atlantic necessarily safe from the iron hand of historical censorship. Frank Dinant, executive vice president of the Jewish lobby group B'nai Brith Canada, welcomed the jailing of Ernst Zündel but deplored the fact that Canada itself has no specific anti-revisionist law: "The case of Ernst Zündel demonstrates clearly the strength, determination and resolve of Germany's hate crimes legislation, in stark contrast to our own."

Rabbi Abraham Cooper, associate dean of the Simon Wiesenthal Center in Los Angeles, also exulted at the 67-year-old Zündel's incarceration: "We applaud Germany for aggressively dealing with those who deny history's most documented atrocity." Rabbi Cooper made clear the political motivation of Zündel's accusers: "The sentencing today of Ernst Zündel also represents a slap in the face to Iranian President Ahmadinejad's hateful campaign of Holocaust denial that unfortunately has generated too much support in the Arab and Muslim world."

Back in Israel the "independent" Hasbara Committee, which claims it was "established to pursue truth about the State of Israel and the Jewish people," celebrated a verdict which "hopefully will silence the mouths of many like him."

By contrast Zündel's lawyer Ludwig Bock pointed out that "what is notable is the iron-hard refusal of the court to allow consideration of new scientific findings or expert opinions."

Bock's objection echoes the concern of liberal journalist Charles Glass, who wrote in the *Independent* newspaper last November:

One day, will it be illegal to assert (or deny) that the United States committed war crimes in Iraq?

The United Nations General Assembly passed by unanimous consent a resolution on 1 November that "Rejects any denial of the Holocaust as a historic event, either in full or in part". If a historian says - as the leading Holocaust historian of our time, Raul Hilberg, does say - that the number of Jews murdered by the Nazis was 5.2 million rather than the six million, will he be tried before an international tribunal for denying the orthodox version "in part"? Should historic inquiry cease, because the UN and the courts of Austria and Germany have stated their

position on the Holocaust? That is no way to suppress fascism. It is fascism.

It is this comprehensive attack on our traditional freedoms which should most concern all Britons, whatever their views on politics or recent history. Those at most risk are individuals who can be labelled as political extremists - even though Zündel is a pacifist and his fellow accused German

Rudolf (who was imprisoned for two and a half years on March 15th) based his analysis of Holocaust history on his scientific experience as a chemist with the Max Planck Institute - but anyone who steps out of line on certain subjects can expect milder versions of the same treatment from the same usual suspects.

One of the leading enforcers of this crackdown against dissident opinions is the Harvard law professor Alan Dershowitz, who has specially targeted the courageous Jewish academic Prof. Norman Finkelstein for his temerity in criticising "the holocaust industry".

Dershowitz has sought to intimidate American universities and other institutions into denying Finkelstein a platform for lectures and debates:

No university or group that would not invite David Duke should lend its imprimatur to Finkelstein's poison. Duke and Finkelstein are opposite sides of the same hateful coin.

In 2006 Dershowitz joined the chorus of Jewish disapproval directed at his Harvard colleague Prof. Stephen Walt and Chicago University's Prof. John Mearsheimer, describing them as "liars and bigots" after they had criticised the "Israel lobby's" influence over U.S. foreign policy in a controversial article.

Again Dershowitz's attack bordered on hysteria when he claimed that in the Mearsheimer-Walt article "every paragraph virtually is copied from a neo-Nazi Web site, from a radical Islamic Web site, from David Duke's Web site."

Sixty years of intense holocaust industry propaganda have produced this chilling effect on political and historical debate. By contrast, at the height of the Second World War the legendary leftwinger George Bernard Shaw was able to write in the *Daily Mail*:

As to atrocities, we have rained 200,000 tons of bombs on German cities; and some of the biggest of them have no doubt fallen into infants' schools or lying-in hospitals. When it was proposed to rule this method of warfare out, it was we who objected and refused. Can we contend that the worst acts of the Nazis whom our Russian allies have just hanged were more horrible than the bursting of a bomb as big as a pillar-box in a nursery in Berlin or Bremen?

The last word should go to Ernst Zündel himself, who challenged the court after his sentencing to set up an international and impartial commission of historians to provide "hard facts" and disprove his version of Holocaust history.

If such a commission proved him wrong, Zündel said he would "hold a press conference at which I would publicly apologise to Jews, Israelis and the world".

In the meantime Zündel remains optimistic, as he wrote to his wife Ingrid Rimland days before his sentencing:

Things are looking up! That may seem like gallows humour in my situation - a day or two away from being convicted to a prison term worse than violent rapists, dope pushers, and child molesters are given. Ingrid, rest assured - the outcry caused by the severity of the sentence will reverberate around the world and keep this case and the issues NOT dealt with squarely on the table. These people do not understand the dynamics at work. They are, like so many Germans, still victims of their induced-by-indoctrination Weltbild, all the while accusing me and [my attorneys] of the most vile intentions. Sometimes I think I am in a time warp...

Peter Rushmore, Manchester, England



Ernst Zündel during his trial in Mannheim

The People of Northern Europe - Part II

The Early History of the Indo-Germans

Archaeological investigations have shown conclusively that there was a highly-developed culture in Northern India before the introduction of an Indo-German language. But informed opinion holds that India could not have been the *Urheimat* or original homeland of the Indo-Germans. Neither could Iran or Asia Minor. Indo-German was introduced into these areas in early historical times. Greece can also be excluded. The Hellenic-speaking peoples were not native to the country, as survivals of place-names from native languages plainly indicate. Nor can there be any doubt that an Indo-German language was introduced into the Italian Peninsula, presumably by the Terramare and Villanova people, from about 1500 B.C. on. Indo-German was introduced into Spain in the form of a Celtic language at the time of the Celtic expansion of the La Tene culture of the Iron Age. Gaul also was Indo-Germanized by the Goidelic Celts after whom it is named, as were the British Isles and Brittany, areas primarily settled by the Brythonic Celts.

After this elimination, what remains is the mass of Central Europe from Southern Russia to the Rhine plus Northern Europe. It has been shown that the anthropological evidence excludes the people of the Painted and Banded Pottery cultures, of the Swiss Lake Dwellings, the Bell Beaker culture and the people of the Western European cultures. The bearers of these cultures were all either predominantly Mediterranean or Alpine. The original speakers of Indo-German must have been Nordic. The archaeological evidence will not support the thesis that the Indo-German language stock had its origin in Northern Europe, although the dominant physical type there can be identified with that of early speakers of Indo-German. This leaves only the Corded Ware culture of Upper Saxony and Thuringia to be associated with the original Indo-Germans.

If the map of Europe showing the expansion of the Single Grave-Corded Ware-Battle-Ax culture is compared with a map showing the probable areas of the various Indo-German languages before the expansion into Asia Minor and Northern India, it will be seen that there is a remarkable concordance between the two. The area of the Germanic language corresponds to that of the Northern Megalithic and the Corded Ware culture of Northwest Germany, Schleswig-Holstein, Jutland, the Danish Islands and Southern Sweden. The Banded Pottery and the Swiss Lake-Dwelling cultures correspond to the areas of the Italic-Celtic, the Illyrian and the Hellenic.

The Great Migration. In Southeastern Europe, where people of the Corded Ware culture came into contact with the Painted Pottery agriculturists, are found the Thraco-Phrygians and the Indo-Iranians. The Balto-Slavic would be the result of the eastward expansion of the Corded Ware culture along the Baltic.

Undoubtedly many peoples and languages that arose out of this expansion-complex failed to maintain themselves as individual units. This has left many linguistic gaps. The Illyrians are a more recent example within Europe, although their disappearance took place much

later. The earlier movement from Southeast Europe into Asia Minor furnished many such cases: the Hittites, the Mitanni, Sacians, Lydians and the Lycians. The history of the Germanic people during the Age of Migration furnishes many parallel examples. One remarkable migration was that of the Tocharians, whose forebears seem to have migrated from Europe eastwards as far as what is today Western China - better known as Sinkiang or Chinese Turkestan. They, or other Indo-Germans similar to them, were probably responsible for introducing bronze, the

horse, the sword and many other Western cultural artifacts to China.

After the completion of the first stages of the Great Migration associated with the expansion of the people of the Northern Megalithic, Corded Ware, Painted and Banded Pottery and Bell Beaker cultures, there emerged three important centers from which further movements of peoples took place. These were the Danubian area of Central Europe, the Northern Balkans, and Southern Russia, including the territory now known as Romania and Bulgaria. Over a period of at least one or two thousand years it was from these areas that came the peoples who are known to history as Celts, Italians, Hittites, Indo-Iranians, Scythians, Sarmatians and many others whose names have not survived.


Nothing is known of the Proto-

Indo-German of the Neolithic period except what can be deduced from a comparison of words in Indo-German languages of a later date. And results obtained by this method are often ambiguous. Linguistic evidence indicates that the Indo-German people had the Sky God as their basic religious concept, that they knew the use of horses and wheeled vehicles, and that they practiced the cultivation of plants and the care of cattle and other domesticated farm animals.

Way of Life. Archaeological investigation supports the evidence provided by linguistic research that the Western Indo-Germans at least lived in houses, not in tents so characteristic of a nomadic life. This is indicated by words for door, house, door-frame, and by such a German word as *winden*, referring to the construction of walls out of intertwined reeds or twigs (German *Wand*: wall). Words for plough, furrow, bread, grain, pig, ox, sheep, goat, steer, and cow have also been deduced by the comparative philologists. These show a knowledge of cereal crops and stock-raising.

There has been a great deal of controversy about the date of the appearance of horse-riding in Central and Western Europe. There is evidence that Indo-Germans introduced the horse into Western Asia, not as an animal to be yoked to the plough, but for drawing war-chariots and then for riding. In Western Europe horses had survived in a wild state but most zoologists agree that the horse was first domesticated on the Asiatic steppes. This domesticated horse was lighter and swifter than the wild horse of Upper Paleolithic Europe. Apart from sporadic appearances, the horse did not become general in Central, Southern and Northern Europe until the Bronze Age.

The image of the Indo-Germans as horse-mounted or chariot-driving warriors belongs to the Bronze Age. That is the time of Homer and of the historical records of Egypt and the Near East. In Europe the Bronze



Evidence suggests that Indo-Germans introduced the use of horses to draw war chariots

Age belongs to the second millennium B.C. And it is in the second millennium that the Indo-German avalanche descended upon the Near East with widespread effect.

This does not mean that isolated advance parties in the third millennium did not try their fortune in the fabled lands of the South. Many adventurous chieftains may have led their followers southwards, and after having saved the tottering thrones of over-sophisticated petty princes, married their daughters and eventually established new dynastic lines. Such appears to have been the case with the Mitanni. But these advance bands soon became merged with the native population, leaving as sole evidence of their existence their Indo-German names and some of their physical characteristics in the ruling class. There appear to be many instances of this kind in the background of Homer's epic story.

Indicators of Presence. The presence of Indo-Germans can often be deduced from the personal names composed of two root-elements. This practice was widespread, as is indicated by such compounds as Mene-laos, Dio-medes, Themisto-kles, Dubno-rix, Sign-fried, Giseler, Kriem-hild, Deva-dattas, Beo-wulf and hundreds of others.

The Indo-German numerical placed great importance on the number three, and multiples of this number. This is evidenced by the dozen, or twelve, by the gross, counting to one hundred and forty-four, the English long ton, the English foot, twelve inches, the division of the day into twenty-four hours, the hour into sixty minutes, the circle into three hundred and sixty degrees and the year into twelve months.

Environmental evidence such as the debate over the beech line has played an important role in the Indo-German problem in past discussions. Though recently questioned, the beech line was a line drawn roughly from what was formerly called Königsberg to the Black Sea. It has been claimed that the beech does not, or at least did not, flourish east of this line in earlier times. The word for beech occurs in quite a number of Indo-German languages, even though it does not always have the same meaning. In Greek the word was applied to the oak tree. That would hardly have happened if the beech had been native to Greece. However, the argument is not proven and is challenged by many.

Conclusions based upon the presence or absence of such words as autumn, snow, salmon, mare, and others can rarely be definitive, since the possibility of borrowing must be taken into consideration, and since it is hazardous to fix an exact time for the presence of such words in a language before there is any manuscript evidence. There is little written material from the second millennium, unless the decipherment of some of the Cretan scripts proves to yield information. The Rig Vedas are early, some of them possibly as early as 1500 B.C., but there is no reason to assume they were committed to writing until much later, and that implies the possibility of additions and changes in spite of oral traditionalism.

Physical Description. From the time when manuscript or pictorial evidence was available, ancient geographers, historians and writers have provided us with some descriptions of considerable value.

The early Greek writers made no distinction in their physical description of the Celts and the Germanic peoples. The Celts of the period of expansion are portrayed as tall, fair-skinned, blond warriors with gray or blue eyes. Indeed, archaeological excavations of an Iron Age Celtic settlement at Hallstatt in Austria reveal the skeletal remains of a homogenous population of classic Nordic features, and Livy, Diodorus and Polybius have left descriptions of the Celts that leave little doubt about the physical appearance of this people. Later, by the end of the period of Celtic expansion, mixture with native populations of other types, Alpine and Mediterranean, greatly modified the appearance of the Celts, although many of the leaders may have remained unmixed. Many plastic representations from Roman sources have survived and these show quite clearly that the dominant Celtic characteristics were of the Nordic kind, even when allowance is made for idealization on the part of the artist.

The original population of the Italian Peninsula was mainly of the Mediterranean type, but the dominant type among the Italici was Nordic. Both Sieglin and Gunther have collected a vast amount of material from Roman sources, from literary and historical records, from Roman statuary and from personal names, showing clearly that the type of the ruling classes of the ancient Romans was Nordic. A great many well-known persons are described as blond. Blond hair was ascribed to the deities such as Amor, Apollo, Aurora, Bacchus, Ceres, Diana, Jupiter, Mars, Mercury, Minerva and Venus. Two hundred and fifty persons are known who bore the name of Flavius (blond) and there are many with names such as Rufus (red) and Rutilius (reddish).

The intrusion of Indo-Germans into the Aegean area, although on a relatively small scale, may have begun before 2000 B.C. The two main migration waves came later. There can be no doubt that those who introduced an Indo-German language were basically of the Nordic type. Most of the gods and heroes of the *Iliad* and the *Odyssey* were blond; Athena was blue-eyed; Aphrodite was described as having golden hair; Helen had silken blond hair, light-colored eyes, a dazzling white skin. The Greek word *iris*, meaning rainbow, was used to describe the human eye. It would be very strange indeed if a dark-eyed people had made such a comparison.

To be sure, artists idealize, and it would be naive to believe that the mass of Greeks in the later classical period even approximated the symmetrical beauty of the product of their artists, but the important point is that the Greek artists in the great majority of cases portrayed an idealized Nordic type of beauty, particularly when portraying their gods, and they would certainly not have done that if this type had been strange to them.

The Nordic type in Greece ultimately met the same fate as in Italy. It became submerged in other racial elements, mainly Mediterranean and Armenoid.

The South Russian Scythians of the Iron Age were mainly of Nordic type. This is also true of the inhabitants of Southern and Central European Russia in the Bronze Age. From these areas came the Asiatic Indo-Germans: the Iranians, the Scians and the Indo-German-speaking invaders of Northern India. Two migration routes were open, one by way of the Caucasus and the other around the Caspian Sea. Both routes appear to have been used.

The native population of India was composed of racial elements of dark complexion such as Veddas, Australoids, Negritoes and also a southern expansion of a Mediterranean strain. The Indo-German invaders did not have numerical superiority, but their weapons and their social organization must have been superior from the military point of view, and they were able to establish themselves securely. The early Indo-Aryan literature describes the aboriginals as physically very different peoples, darker of coloring and with small snub noses; and, however it happened, the caste system became a means of preserving a high degree of genetic segregation separating the many different groups. The Sanskrit word for caste, *varna*, means color, although it has been disputed recently as to whether this referred to race coloration or merely to symbolic colors associated with the different caste groups. Possibly it referred to both, for to this day light skin color carries with it considerable social status in India.

Indeed, lighter skin coloring is highly prized throughout the continent, and is markedly more common in the north where the Indo-Aryans would have entered India. Fair hair and blue or grey eyes are reported from Kashmir, as also in parts of Afghanistan, a territory through which the Indo-Aryans would have passed. Indeed, sharply-chiselled Nordic features remain characteristic of these northern areas.

The Brahmans and Kshatriyas, the higher Indian castes, tend in all parts of India to be somewhat lighter in coloring than the lower castes. Nordic traits are found in the Ganges district, in the Pamirs and Hindukush. In the shape of face, stature and general physical build, the Sikhs approximate the Nordic type. The Aryans in the Hittite inscriptions of Boghazkoi are called Hari, which some linguists interpret as meaning "the blond people."

Wall paintings in the temple of Ashanta indicate the difference between the native Indian type and the tall, blond Nordic. Passages in Sanskrit literature refer to the natives as being of dark color - *krishna varna* - and as *anas*, that is, noseless; whereas the Indo-Aryans refer to themselves as Indra's white friends. Indra himself, an Aryan god, is described as blond, red-bearded, and with some of the characteristics of the Germanic Thor. The fire god, Agni, and the sun god, Surja, have golden hair. Uschas, the goddess of the dawn, is delineated in much the same way as Eos among the Greeks and Aurora among the Romans. Despite attempts to refute this conclusion, there can be little doubt that Nordic was the prevalent type among the invaders of India in the second millennium B.C.

Skulls of Nordic type have been found in the burials of the royal families of the Hari and the Mitanni in Asia Minor and northern Syria, and Indo-German names of deities and kings are mentioned. This is also true of the Hittites of Anatolia, amongst whom the ruling class quite definitely spoke an early Indo-German language.

The Sacians in West Turkestan, called Turkomen in modern times, also show traces of a former Nordic element. The Alans, who were associated with the Goths, probably belonged to this same group. The modern Ossetic people in the Caucasus may be a remnant of the Alans. They still speak an Indo-German language; and Nordic traits, especially blondness with blue eyes, are still common among them.

The Medes and Persians belonged linguistically to the Iranian group of the Indo-Iranian branch. According to descriptions in classical literature, and representations in the palace paintings and on Persian coins, the ruling element in early times was of the Nordic type. Although Islam overran this entire area, Saluddin, who fought so valiantly against the Crusaders, was himself a Kurd of Indo-German stock. Kurdish children today still often reveal the fair hair and even fair eyes of those of their ancestors who were Nordic.

Physical Characteristics The conclusion that the Nordic type was the dominant type of the original Indo-Germans in the East is supported by the investigations of Kappers and Parr, who find the long-headed Indo-German type in a great many localities throughout the Near East from the Caspian Sea to India. Indeed, it may be assumed that the great Caucasoid family of Mankind was originally graduated from a darker-eyed, darker-haired type of swarthier-colored skin in the south to a fair-skinned, light-eyed and light-haired Nordic type of the north. The two would originally have been roughly divided by the wide range of mountains that stretches from the Alps in central Europe, by the Caucasus, and in Asia by the Elburz mountains of Persia, the Hindu Kush, and the Pamirs. North of this range, the fairer more Nordic-like Caucasoid type probably extended further east, north of the Kunlun range and as far into Central Asia as the Altai mountains, to the east of which the Mongoloid racial type predominated.

In the course of history, ancient racial markers tended to merge as people warred against each other and migrated, either as conquerors, slaves or merely as migrating merchants, so that today we think of the Nordic type as being restricted solely to northern Europe and to areas of predominantly north European settlement overseas. This is the result of genetic admixture by those formerly widespread Nordic peoples of ancient times who lived to the south and east of the more remote lands of Germany, Scandinavia and northern Europe with less-Nordic Caucasoids, and non-Caucasoids, in the neighboring lands into which they spread by conquest or even by simple migration. And in this century the genetic character of even the surviving Nordic peoples of northern Europe is threatened by the immigration of non-Nordic types, and genetic admixture resulting from the decline of the Nordic racial ideal. But that the Celtic and Germanic peoples were originally Nordic, and remained identifiably so until contemporary times, is undeniable.

Dr Sidney Green, Brookland, New York

Editors note: This article continues in the next issue of H&D with The European Neolithic.

Read *Vanguard*

The monthly magazine of the
British People's Party (BPP)
just send £2.00 for a sample copy to
"Imperium Press"
BM Box 5581, London, WC1N 3XX
www.bpp.org.uk

By popular demand
and to commemorate the
life of John Tyndall

SPEARHEAD
Issue Number One
an identical reprint


all profits to the cause

£3.50 each (incl. p&p)
cheques & money orders payable to:

D. Ettridge
P.O. Box 52185
London
E2 0WS

HOW IT WAS DONE

The rise of Burnley BNP:
the inside story



How It Was Done
The Rise of Burnley BNP
- the inside story
by Steven Smith

is available from *Heritage and Destiny*
P.O. Box 331, Blackburn, BB1 2WU
for £6 (Incl. postage)

Local Elections 2007 - Preview

The 2007 local elections on May 3rd will see the BNP battling on several fronts. While the party is confidently expecting to forge ahead with record numbers of candidates and respectable votes in unlikely areas, most attention will be paid to those areas where the BNP made its first breakthroughs several years ago, and where the party is now defending seats as well as seeking to gain new ones.

There are no elections (other than the odd by-election) in London this year, so the BNP will not have the chance to consolidate last year's remarkable gains in the borough of Barking & Dagenham. Nor are there any contests at county council level, but these are very rarely targets for racial nationalist parties in any case.

Polling day will see every seat up for grabs in 25 unitary authorities and 150 district councils, but the most significant areas for the BNP will be electing just one third of their council - in other words one councillor in each ward. These contests will take place in 36 metropolitan boroughs (districts within the major conurbations), 20 unitary authorities, and 81 shire districts. In total 312 out of Britain's 388 local authorities will have elections.

The BNP has 49 elected councillors (excluding councillors at the lowest tier of parish councils which are excluded from consideration in this article). While this is a historic level of support for a British racial nationalist party, one should remember that there are 21,892 elected councillors in total across the country. So the most important issue is not the overall number of BNP councillors, who for the time being are bound to be drops in the ocean, but the extent to which the party can build serious strength in particular areas, where the party is really able to terrify the political establishment.

On that score last year's election was disappointing, since the BNP lost four of the five seats it was defending, even while it forged ahead elsewhere. This year the BNP is defending eight seats.

The most important council where BNP councillors are defending seats is **Burnley**, and therefore media coverage on election night is likely to highlight the town. Most of the BNP group on the council is up for re-election: four out of seven councillors. Due to multiple criminal convictions, Cllr Brian Turner is unlikely to defend his seat in Cliviger with Worsthorne ward, which will be fought by an England First Party candidate, Burnley's best known racial nationalist Steven Smith.

Former Burnley BNP group leader Len Starr will defend Hapton with Park, the only ward in Britain where the BNP holds all three seats. The party's longest serving councillor Carol Hughes, previously thought likely to stand down, now looks likely to stand again in Gannow ward, but Patricia Thomson may not defend her Briercliffe ward seat.

The likelihood of Burnley BNP making new progress in other wards now seems slim, in the wake of their disappointment at the Brunshaw by-election and serious divisions over strategy between some councillors and the ultra-Griffinite campaign organiser David Shapcott.

Last year the **Bradford** BNP lost half its strength on the council - this year group leader Dr James Lewthwaite will defend his Wyke ward. He should be confident of victory, but defeat could leave Paul Cromie as Bradford's sole BNP councillor.

Calderdale was once touted by Nick Griffin as the best prospect for the BNP to win control of a council. This year Cllr Richard Mulhall, convicted of benefit fraud last year, will defend Illingworth & Mixenden ward - one of the strongest BNP wards in the country. This will be a very close contest between the BNP and Labour, as evidenced by the BNP's narrow

failure to win the Illingworth & Mixenden by-election in February this year. Even if Cllr Mulhall loses his seat, there are several opportunities for further gains in Calderdale, but again there is a slim chance of the worst case scenario, which would leave ex-Tory Cllr Geoffrey Wallace as the BNP's only Calderdale councillor.

Just one of the BNP's five **Stoke-on-Trent** councillors is up for re-election this year - the legendary Steve Batkin, who should safely win re-election in Longton North ward. Stoke is once again a good bet to see further BNP gains.

Probably the BNP's most unlikely gain in 2003 was the victory of Ray Johns in the Rosedale ward of **Broxbourne**. He remains the party's only representative on his council, but can expect help from across the region in his effort to win a further four-year term. Although Cllr Johns won a 150 vote majority when he was elected four years ago, last year's BNP candidate was fifty votes behind the winning Conservative, so this will be another close contest.

Some commentators have suggested that the BNP could be seriously looking at more than fifty net gains this year, hitting the magic total of one hundred councillors nationwide. I honestly don't think this is going to happen, and my guess would be a net gain of around twenty seats.

Apart from the councils already mentioned, the biggest targets will surely include **Sandwell**, where the BNP currently has four councillors and should add the Tipton Green ward this year, and **Kirklees**, where the party has three seats and missed out narrowly on further gains last year. Alongside these two there will be additional target seats right across the West Midlands and Yorkshire, the two strongest BNP regions. Likely target councils include **Rotherham** and **Dudley**.

The BNP's internal morale could be affected most by the party's performance in the North West region, which saw the first BNP breakthroughs in 2001-2003 but has been in retreat for the past four years. Outside Burnley party members will once again look to **Oldham**, with increasing desperation. Oldham BNP once struck fear into

Labour's high command, but the branch now seems to have crumbled beyond repair, largely thanks to mismanagement by the national BNP leadership.


The Clitheroe-based **Ribble Valley** council - covering the area where the BNP has held several successful summer rallies - is another crisis area for BNP organisation. Efforts to re-establish the local branch seem to have run out of steam already, and Clitheroe is another council area where the BNP is being overtaken by the England First Party.

The BNP website consistently promotes the **Stockport** and **Tameside** branches, which should field a dozen candidates. Best prospect for victory is probably the Hyde Newton ward, but my prediction is for another professional campaign across Stockport and Tameside, producing strong results, but no victories.

Two wards to watch for possible England First Party victories are Burnley's Cliviger with Worsthorne, where the EFP candidate is former BNP organiser Steven Smith, and Clitheroe's Primrose ward on Ribble Valley Council, where the EFP is fielding another BNP defector Paul Frankland.

Meanwhile, despite promoting itself as a unionist party, the BNP is unlikely to make more than a token effort in the Scottish Parliament and Welsh Assembly elections. It is certain that there will be no BNP candidates at the Northern Ireland Assembly election on March 7th, as the BNP is still not registered as a legal political party in the province.

Peter Rushmore, Manchester, England



Four BNP big names facing re-election in May:
(above left) Carol Hughes, Gannow ward, Burnley;
(above right) Dr James Lewthwaite, Wyke ward, Bradford;
(below left) Richard Mulhall, Illingworth & Mixenden ward, Calderdale;
(below right) Steve Batkin, Longton North ward, Stoke





Book Review: *Marxism, Multiculturalism and Free Speech*, by Frank Ellis

Published by the Journal of Social, Political and Economic Studies Monograph No. 31 (2006), 107 pp, paperback. Available from the publisher for \$20.50 postpaid: Scott-Townsend Publishers, Box 34070, Washington, D.C. 20043, U.S.A.

Historically, it has been the working class that has spearheaded the struggle for White Nationalism in English-speaking countries. More recently, the middle class has also been involved in a major way. Still, there are important segments of society that are under-represented in the Nationalist movement. These include, most notably, certain influential and prestigious professions, such as doctors, military officers, lawyers and professors. Among those few in the academic world in England who have taken on the fight for race and nation is Prof. Frank Ellis, formerly of Leeds University.

Ellis is not a newcomer to the struggle. Over the years he has written articles for various publications and spoken out on numerous occasions, once having braved the wrath of hostile university authorities to speak at an *American Renaissance* conference in the US.

In mid-2006, he came under ferocious attack for refusing to publicly apologize for his beliefs, thus, allegedly, bringing "disrepute" to Leeds University. Among his offending assertions were those that (1) human intelligence has a genetic component; (2) different human populations have different levels of average intelligence; and (3) Blacks tend to score below Whites on standardized intelligence tests, thus suggesting that their IQ is below that of Whites.

Indeed, not only did he refuse to grovel, but instead published a lengthy letter in a student newspaper restating his convictions in greater depth and more emphatic language. After a series of public demonstration by assorted communists and other multiculturalists, he was first suspended from his position and subsequently forced into an early retirement.

By happy circumstance, at the same time as he was coming under fire by the forces of censorship and intolerance, a short collection of articles written by Ellis was in preparation. These articles were first published in *The Journal of Social, Political and Economic Studies* between the years 2001 and 2005. The collection has since been released under the title *Marxism, Multiculturalism and Free Speech*. It includes: 'The Parekh and Macpherson Reports: "Deconstruction" of the United Kingdom' (2001); 'Race Legislation in the European Union' (2002); 'Political

Correctness and the Ideological Struggle: from Lenin and Mao to Marcuse and Foucault' (2002); and 'From Communism's "Enemy of the People" to PC's "Hate Criminal"' (2005). The collection is prefaced by an introduction by Prof. Dwight D. Murphey of the University of Kansas.

The first article, 'Deconstruction,' examines the Marxist origins of the move to destroy the UK by undermining its racial and accompanying cultural foundations. Multiculturalism and multiracialism, he concludes, are the modern day successors to the old-fashioned and outdated notions of communism that have been shown over the course of decades to be utter nonsense.

In 'Race Legislation,' Ellis shows that the attack on the racial integrity of European civilization is not limited to the UK alone, but encompasses all of Europe. This attack is given spurious legal justification through treaties adopted by the European Union. One result of these treaties is the steady erosion of the sovereignty of the individual European nations, such as England, and 'a decisive move towards not just the emergence of a United States of Europe but also towards Europe becoming a unified police state' in which any criticism of multiculturalism will be illegal.

The article 'Political Correctness' also investigates the Marxist origins of a present-day phenomenon. Here the author shows that the current notion of political correctness may be traced back to the murderous Bolshevik regimes of V.I. Lenin and Mao Tse-Tung. Those butchers executed people who held 'incorrect' opinions. The enforcers of today's multiracial political correctness have not been able to go that far - yet.


The final article in the collection is on the origin of the concept of the 'Hate Criminal.' Like political correctness, race legislation and the deconstruction of the UK, it, too, is a contemporary incarnation of 20th century Marxist repression in multiracial garb. In practice, if not theory, a 'hate criminal' is not just a lawbreaker who is consumed by hatred of non-Whites, but also includes anyone who speaks out in defense of the White race or Western civilization. It is ironic - but hardly surprising - that Ellis himself has fallen victim to the very peril that he warned against. His enemies were not able to bring criminal charges against him for noting that Blacks consistently score below White on IQ tests - but undoubtedly they would bring such charges if it were possible. The forces of racial censorship and enforced conformity fervently work towards the day when persecution will be accompanied by prosecution for White 'thought criminals.'

Ellis writes in a style that is both academic and accessible to ordinary readers. His articles are footnoted and include comprehensive references. *Marxism, Multiculturalism and Free Speech* deals with the situation in the UK, but the topics under discussion apply equally to the US and other English-speaking lands. The publisher reports the book is its bestseller in Britain, but readers elsewhere will also find it interesting and will profit by Ellis's insights. We recommend it to all.


Reviewed by Martin Kerr, Falls Church, Virginia

Marxism, Multiculturalism, and Free Speech

Frank Ellis



A demonstrator at Leeds University demands the sacking of Frank Ellis



Jailing Opinions

A film documentary exposing the laws which criminalise normal historical enquiry and expression, including firsthand accounts from the persecuted Defence Attorneys and those who dare attend the ongoing trials in Europe. Chapter 3 is a firsthand account of the famous trial in Vienna, Austria, of the British historian David Irving who was given a three years jail sentence for talking about events that happened (or not, as the case may be) more than sixty years ago.

SUGGESTED DONATION TOWARDS THE COST OF MAKING THIS FILM:

UK £9.99 + £2.50 p&p
 Euros €15.50 + €3.98 p&p
 USA \$19.99 + \$6.00 p&p
 Canadian \$22.60 + \$6.00 p&p
 Australian \$26.30 + \$6.00 p&p
 New Zealand \$32.20 + \$6.00 p&p

DELIVERY POLICY: Allow 30 days. No refunds.
 Comments welcome.

QUERIES AND DONATIONS TO:

"Telling Films", PO Box 18812,
 London SW7 4WD, U.K.
 Telephone/facsimile: +44 (0)207 460 7453
 Email: dvdorders@jailingopinions.com

<http://www.jailingopinions.com>

A new film documentary release on DVD.
 Running time: 116 mins PAL (European version);
 121 mins NTSC (USA version).

Successful meeting in Leeds

One of the best Yorkshire meetings for many years was organised by the British People's Party and chaired by Sid Williamson on January 20th. The first speaker was Ade Brooks from Stockport, who oversaw a minute's silence in memory of the late Mick Sanderson.

Cllr Mark Cotterill of the England First Party spoke about his experiences on Blackburn with Darwen Council, and their followed contributions from the BPP youth organiser Dave Jones and BPP founder Eddy Morrison about the continuing role of the BPP, which is emerging as guardian of the conscience of traditional racial nationalism.

Although organised by the BPP, many other groups were represented in the audience and among the highly professional security team, including the EFP, the BNP, the NA the NF and the WHWB - so this was a true example of nationalist unity in action.

Peter Rushmore, assistant editor of *Heritage and Destiny*, welcomed this unity and was pleased to meet several old comrades.

He mocked the absurd media hype over the supposed 'racism' of contestants on the infantile Channel Four television show *Celebrity Big Brother*, which dominated newspaper headlines on the day of the meeting.

The supposed victim of this racism was a Tamil - a race which have been involved in an ethnic civil war in Sri Lanka which has claimed more than 65,000 lives since 1983. Tamils ethnically cleansed their capital city Jaffna, ordering all Muslims to leave the city at


a few hour's notice, yet British Whites are supposed to hang their heads in shame because the endemic "racism" of their society has been "exposed" by some moronic insults on a TV show.

All this is really about the exploitation of white guilt, a theme which was returned to by the meeting's guest speaker Lady Michele Renouf, who had recently returned from a conference in Tehran investigating the Holocaust.

Lady Renouf paid tribute to the courage of Iranian President Ahmadinejad, who had defied worldwide pressure from those with a vested interest in maintaining white guilt and suppressing any sceptical inquiry into the alleged gassing of six million Jews, which has been used for more than sixty years to stigmatise racial nationalism.

Given the cultural achievements of White Europeans, Lady Renouf said it is only natural to seek to maintain the fundamental ties between people and place, blood and soil. While most of us are culturally, if not theologically, Christian, we could learn a great deal from older European religions such as Odinism. Politicians seeking to represent White Europeans were taking a seriously wrong turn, in Lady Renouf's view, if they renounced their deepest convictions to adopt a mask of political correctness.

The sixty-strong audience were inspired by Lady Renouf's bold defence of the historical revisionists currently being persecuted across Europe. For an updated review of the DVD *Jailing Opinions*, and links to video files from the televised debate between Lady Renouf and Professor Norman Finkelstein, visit her website at - <http://www.jailingopinions.com>



H&D assistant editor Peter Rushmore and Lady Michele Renouf, speakers at the meeting organised by Leeds BPP in January



Book Review: *From White Australia to Woomera - Australia's Migration Policies* by James Jupp

Published by Cambridge University Press, 2003, ISBN 0-521-53140-3 (pbk), 256pp. Available from Cambridge University Press, 40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, or from www.amazon.co.uk for £16.99

Editor's note: This is the third and final part of Ian Freeman's review. Earlier parts appeared in issue #26 and issue #27

Australia is very big, larger than Europe, and very thinly peopled. So at one time visions of vast potential populations of, in those days, British settlers farming the land were bandied about. The problem with that is that Australia is a victim of its own geological, specifically plate tectonic, history. It is a very old, stable, piece of the Earth's crust that has been drifting northward for tens of millions of years. The stability means there have been no volcanoes to refresh the soil with minerals for almost 200 million years. By contrast gigantic eruptions built the Giants' Causeway in Ulster and spread fertile volcanic ash over the British Isles fifty million years ago, huge volcanoes erupted again and again across the American Mid-West thirty million years ago and less than ten million years ago the French Massif Central was a cluster of active volcanoes. There hasn't been an active volcano in Australia since the dinosaurs first appeared. The soil of Australia has been leached of minerals and fertility for far longer than anywhere else, without being renewed from within the Earth. Australia's soil is so old that, like the surface of Mars, the iron in it has all oxidised, so from the deserts to the coast it is a distinctive red, the same red as the Red Planet's. Whilst Australia has drifted north into the latitudes where global wind circulation patterns keep rain clouds away from most of it. Native life has adapted in lots of ways – from the spread and proliferation of species of gum trees to the biology of kangaroos – to a desperately dry land of very poor soil. Adapted well enough that it concealed beneath often surprisingly abundant extensive vegetation and wildlife, even in deserts, from earlier settlers just how poor the land actually was.

Generation after generation of British-Australian "cockies" – bush farmers, the salt of the Aussie earth – were to find this out, battling crops that failed because the rains didn't come or occasionally came too quickly in a flood whilst what meagre goodness was left in the soil gradually got used up. Grandiose irrigation schemes were planned, but failed because watering the land leached out the remaining minerals giving fertility and then made the soil too salty to grow crops at all. Some areas, it is true, could support agriculture, and more were suitable for cattle and sheep. Sadly artificial fibres destroyed much of the wool trade from the latter, once the mainstay of Australia.

More and more, Australians retreated from the interior and settled in vast sprawling cities by the sea. Today, over 80% of them live in a few giant city complexes. Greater Sydney alone houses over 4 million people – Melbourne, 3.5 million. Adelaide, home to a mere million or so, sprawls for fifty miles of suburbs from just south of Gawler to just north of MacLaren Vale, unable to spread sideways because of the sea on one side and the Mount Lofty Range the other. Any further population growth, it was pointed out, will only make these cities even bigger and less sustainable, eventually raising issues of how Australia is to feed itself. All of which was pointed out as long ago as 1928 by Professor Griffith Taylor, who correctly predicted that by 2000 Australia would only be

supporting twenty million people, rather than the much higher figures then being bandied about.

By the 1970s, various Greens and the like were demanding a halt to Immigration – on a totally "non-racist" basis of course, but one which would *de facto* have preserved the then existing White Australia. Jupp chronicles the rise and fall amongst the Australian political elite of the "Zero Population Growth" idea, briefly the party policy of Australia's third party, the Australian Democrats, in the early 1990s. He also explains why this idea eventually moved out of fashion. Illustrating in action the blend of capitalist greed and Political Correctness that steers the "centre

ground" of the System's political debate. The former jibbed at the short term economic cost in terms of a demographic bulge of ageing people that is the inevitable result when a formerly growing population stabilises its numbers. This argument is used to justify immigration throughout the West – "who will pay the pensions of ever more older people as native birth-rates decline?". In fact increased investment in automation can make a smaller workforce more productive, improved health care means older workers can contribute to the economy longer, working as long as they want to and are able rather than being forced to retire at 65 or even 60, and liberals who echo these arguments ought to reflect on what happens when the last country is reached if each country as its population stabilises has creamed off

the young workforce of the next. The last country, which has no higher birthrate source for its immigrants, has to find the solution which the first countries affected, like those of the West, should have found. The trouble is, the last country in this plight will probably be the poorest. But in the short term it is more profitable for the big corporations who bankroll Professor Jupp and the regimes of which he is typical just to ship in cheap labour, and discourage talk of stable populations. Added to this is the agony inflicted, as Jupp admits, on Politically Correct Greens and liberals at finding their arguments for halting immigration taken up by "sinister extremists". Firstly Australians Against Further Immigration – in its time one of the most effective forces on the Nationalist scene there. And then by White Australia's very belated – and temporary – awakening – One Nation.

Again, Jupp gives an interesting and valuable account of how a few unPC remarks by a fish-and-chip-shop proprietress in suburban Queensland in 1996 mushroomed into Australia's first serious anti-Asian immigration movement, and how, and more revealingly why, it all came to nothing in the end.

Indeed Jupp's summary of what went wrong is, sadly, spot on: "One Nation was a farce. It was inept, incompetent, unintelligent and spurious. It earned large sums of money through donations and public funding for which it was unable to account. Had Pauline Hanson been well advised she would have contested the Senate in 1998, been elected and remained a national figure for the next six years. Had her support been loyal and disciplined it would not have thrown away the considerable gains of 1998. The whole exercise was ridiculous."

Indeed. And it first built and then threw away a serious third party in Australian politics, with over a million voters (equivalent to three million in Britain and fifteen million in the US). Why? There are lessons for us all here.



One Nation, the only political party which has ever mounted a serious challenge to Australia's multicultural establishment, collapsed because its egotistical leader failed to harness the talents of other movement personalities such as Graeme Campbell (left) and David Oldfield (right)

As is clear even from Jupp's account, ON really was the sort of rudderless populism held up as a bogeyman by "hard-line" British nationalists of the Martin Webster ilk. It really did have no underlying ideology, its policies – therefore – were an incoherent and sometimes internally inconsistent mish-mash, and many of them, more hard-line in style than in substance, were swiftly appropriated by Howard's coalition to siphon off support. Howard with much publicity put illegal immigrants into camps in almost ludicrously remote locations – e.g. Port Hedland, which is separated from the rest of Australia by the Great Sandy Desert (which this reviewer can testify from personal experience is indeed Great, Sandy and a Desert) and Woomera, the remote former home of the former British space programme. This met a key ON demand, looked tough but had no effect on the continuing flow of legal Asian immigrants. Indeed, since Australia, unlike many Western countries, has – thanks to the last legacy of White Australia – never ever let illegal immigrants stay, it had no practical impact at all (despite wails from liberals like Jupp). But ON lacked the political sophistication to point this out.

Indeed the shambolic state of its platform was matched by the incompetence and corruption of the leadership team with which – thanks to the autocratic ON constitution, Pauline Hanson was able to surround herself. Also thanks to the lack of any power exerted by the membership over their own party, Hanson was able on a whim to spurn the valuable support and proposed alliance of veteran anti-immigration Labor, later Independent, MP for Kalgoorlie, the wily and principled Graeme Campbell.

But it was not just bad luck that when Australians started at last to wake up to what was being done to them it was all thrown away and brought to naught. The nationalist movement in Australia must bear much of the blame. Not for its decades of political impotence as much as for failing to prepare itself for the public mood to change, as they should have known it must eventually. If they were right, that the end of White Australia and the Asian Invasion would be a disaster, then sooner or later its disastrousness would become impossible even for Joe Sixpack throwing prawns on his barbie to ignore. They should and could have prepared for a mass awakening that ought to have known would come one day. Because the inevitable failure of multiracialism was a core nationalist belief. In which they were proved right. What was missing was any serious political thought about how to prepare for the consequences of being right.

Ideally by preparing themselves to lead a mass popular awakening. By modernising themselves to look outwardly something like One Nation, but with a key difference. The outward populism in reality built on a firm framework of an inward ideological coherence. Putting forward policies that were, to steal a leftist word, "progressive", moving in the direction of the desired end, but not all the way, lest the horses be scared. Moderate enough to build mass support around, but not so moderate the System could just implement them and deprive sails of wind.

But also spending the locust years before the awakening recruiting and training – let's reclaim the word! – cadres of able and intelligent potential organisers of local units and runners of publicity and election campaigns. None of that would have got them very far, in the political conditions of 1980s and early '90s Australia, but it would have built the team to run effectively with the ball of mass popular awakening when it came. Rather as in the 1920s the Germans, limited by the Treaty of Versailles to an army of 100,000 men, built an army of 100,000 officers and NCOs, under which twenty times that number of privates could swiftly be slotted. The Australians – as some in the British NF in the early 1980s tried to do – should have made their small organisations into "*Black Reichswehrs*" – ready to grow fast when things changed.

Or indeed to assist and direct someone else if – as happened – the awakening came unexpectedly and from outside the Movement. Which might happen – as it did – thanks to a random and unanticipatable event like Pauline Hanson's deselection as a Liberal candidate. Australian Nationalists could have done to One Nation what the Greater Britain Movement did to the NF when it formed in the late 1960s – join and, thanks to more skill, training and experience, come to predominate. Hanson did try to keep out potential rivals to her ego-trip at ON's top national level. But she could not and did not control who joined and rose high at local level in her party's branches, and thereby ON could

have been taken over from the bottom – or more accurately the middle – up and turned into a valuable and enduring vehicle. But Australian Nationalists either dismissed ON as "liberal populists" – true, but open to change from within. Or were so isolated by well-publicised but politically useless "hard line" posturings that they were not acceptable to ON at any level and had denied themselves the chance to influence it.

Such lessons are not too late to learn for White Australia. Liberals like Jupp were clearly very shaken by ON, and he rightly realises that the genie of popular resistance to his multi-culti project is not at all securely back in the bottle. Next time Australian patriots have to learn and do it right. Next time Australia needs an ON with brains and built, beneath a superstructure of populism and "moderation", on an underlying ideological bedrock. The time to lay the foundations of which is now.

For Jupp, like many other liberals who pretend to "defend democracy from far-Right extremism" is – and should be – a lot more afraid of democracy than we are, or should be. He sees, as we should, that if the will of the people were to prevail it would be a disaster for him and his ilk, not us.

For Liberal multiracialists like Jupp, as he makes perfectly clear – writing here for, he thinks, like-minded readers – Heavens forfend that the peasants get a say! As Jupp puts it "Glorifying the common sense of the common people can lead to the crudest populism" (shudder!) Especially as Jupp is well aware of what the people actually want – on this issue "xenophobia and nativism" (more shudders! Frightful oiks!) He quite clearly doesn't believe the common sense of the common people ought to prevail in principle in a democracy because democracy is in principle about the will of the people being done. In fact, he says as much, quite bluntly "I do not accept that majority opinion is always right". Who is the enemy of democracy now?

Jupp's quite open disdain for the common herd and contempt for democracy as anything more than a façade and media-manipulated puppet show – "changing public opinion is a necessary feature of democracy and in this area, often essential" he prates – through which the self-selected liberal elite rule is a vindication from the horse's mouth, shining through from every page of this book, of everything Nationalists have always said on this subject.

The reason Jupp and his ilk – who have ruled the West for decades now – do not really believe in democracy, and the reason Nationalists ought to do so, is that on this and many other issues the will of the people ought to prevail in practice because their instincts are sound. Honed by millions of years of Natural Selection in the interests of racial survival, a process wiser in its outcome, even if possibly quite unconscious in its workings, than any of us as short-lived mortals.

It is no accident that in Australia, and Britain, and America, and pretty well everywhere else the survival of the White Race is imperilled by developments over the last half-century, the threat to our racial future did not come from "degenerate democracy" but its opposite. In every case, from mass Afro-Asian Immigration in Britain, through the end of Segregation in the US South, to the end of White Australia, the will of the people was the one thing that did NOT prevail. If it had, if democracy had delivered in practice what it promises and what its rulers give lip service to in theory, none of these disasters would have happened. Because the will of the people is the voice of the processes which forged the White Race in the first place, and will not let it die, if only that voice can be heard and prevail.

Nationalists must understand – as the likes of Jupp clearly do – that it is we and not they who can trust our own peoples. That we need more democracy, not less. Democracy and the will of the people is their enemy, not ours. We ought to say so, and demand that the form of democracy is matched by its substance. That the ancient European tradition of freedom and people choosing how – and with whom – they shall live, shown down the ages by the Athenian Assembly, the folk-moots of our Celtic and Germanic warrior forebears, and the thingsteads of the Vikings should not perish. That it is liberals like Jupp who are the real "fascists" in the popular sense, the real enemies of democracy. It is a powerful argument for winning support. And, as Professor Jupp shows he knows and fears, it is true.

Reviewed by Ian Freeman, Northwich, Cheshire

Why English Nationalism?

Why not British Nationalism; why not White Nationalism? After all, these are the two main threads of Nationalism (in Britain, at least), and have been since at least the 1970's. English Nationalism is a new kid on the block, and to be anything other than a passing fad or a simple, and unthinking, reaction to the increasingly negative aspects of certain parts of the British Nationalist scene (which would mainly be a reaction against the increasingly peculiar activities of the leadership junta of the British National Party), then English Nationalism has to be able to justify its unique position on the political stage.

As a convert from White Nationalism to British Nationalism and finally to English Nationalism I have been through the entire spectrum of modern race-centred Nationalist politics within the UK. As such, and as I have been an organiser and prospective electoral candidate within each group, I feel that my opinions on the matter are worth consideration. Whether or not you agree with them is another matter entirely!

Firstly, White Nationalism. This is, I believe, an ideal, but one which is, ultimately, self-destructive. To unite all the myriad strands of Nationalism into one pan-European model simply cannot work in practice. First of all, what exactly is White Nationalism? A traditional German National Socialist is unlikely to accept Slavic (Polish or Russian, say) Nationalism as equal to their German-based Nationalism. Striking a balance between the various strands of Irish and Ulster Nationalisms would be a nightmare in itself, and how would you square English and British Nationalism within a single political framework? To be truly pan-European, the peoples of Europe, and those of European descent, would either have to accept a new kind of Nationalism that rejected, even denied, their cultural roots (something which is the complete opposite of what I consider to be Nationalism), or else these varied cultures would need to be preserved, leading to stagnation if they are kept alive artificially and if conflicts between the cultures are regulated, or resulting in the obvious collapse of the Aryan Super State if the conflicts are allowed to continue. White Nationalism, in my view, can only truly apply as a term to describe a Pan-Aryan voluntary union of separate and independent nation-states.

What then of British Nationalism? I believe that Britain, and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, is a doomed institution and whatever effort is put into propping it up is wasted effort. There are several reasons for this, the most obvious one being that the Union is simply not wanted any more! By all recent opinion polls, the great majority, usually more than 64%, of Scots want independence. The latest opinion polls in England have put the figures at between 53% and 64% who want a separate English parliament; those who support a full separation of the home nations is smaller, but growing, and is now probably at around the 40% mark. Over a third of the population of Ulster also want separation from Britain, but most of these support the Sinn Féin policy of union with Eire. If the Union was to end, then the overwhelming majority of Ulstermen would also opt for full independence. The Isle of Man, Channel Isles and Gibraltar are all, in many ways, already fully separate.

In fact, the idea of maintaining the Union is now such an unpopular concept that it is costing the British National Party many votes, and making any grab for real power unrealistic. The chances of the BNP gaining substantial electoral support in Scotland or Wales is neg-

ligible; the very word 'British' in the title puts off most people outside England, with the exception of the followers of Glasgow Rangers! If a party wishes to win power in Westminster, and every serious party has to have this as their final goal, no matter how distant it may seem, then they start with a serious disadvantage if they have no chance of winning any, or at most only one or two, seats throughout Scotland and Wales. In order to

form a majority they would need to win about 75% of all the English seats, including those with a non-White majority. Such a task seems impossible.

An English Nationalist party, on the other hand, does not have these problems. At first glance it may seem that they do, as they still need to win a majority at Westminster, and they are not even going to attempt to win seats outside of England! But, looked at in more detail, it is obvious that they do not need to. If an English Nationalist party wins 51% of the English seats, they will form the government. The majority of the electorate in Scotland and Wales already want independence, as do a very large minority of their MP's – not just SNP and Plaid, but several Labour, SSP, as well, and several other minor parties support the end of the Union. On a free vote, even today it would be nearly 50%

of Scots MP's who would support full separation from England, and the numbers are growing.

So an English Nationalist minority government would have the immediate support of, by the time any such minority government could come into being, a majority, or at least a large minority, of non-English MP's. This would also include nearly all (if not all) of those from Ulster; SDLP and SF would always vote to end English rule (anywhere!) and DUP and other Loyalist parties would support a free Ulster, with enormous economic, political and military support from England, over the very real risk of intervention from Eire following the end of the Union. The various groups may not have anything else in common, but they would vote for the end of the Union, giving English Nationalists an extra 70 – 80 votes in the House, over and beyond the seats won at an election. And once the Union has ended, English Nationalists would have a working majority in the English parliament.

But there are other problems with British Nationalism. The most glaring of these is that they are living in the political past and have failed to notice events which have rendered their Nationalism obsolete. British Nationalism is, by definition, there to defend the Union of Great Britain and Northern Ireland. Unfortunately for British Nationalists, this Union no longer exists! Check with any constitutional expert; the Belfast Agreement has a little-debated clause which allows for referenda every seven years on the future of Ulster – is it British or Irish? Note that – a new referendum every seven years, up to the point where the people of Ulster get the answer 'right' (i.e., vote to merge with the Irish Republic), then no more votes. Numerous Irish constitutional experts have noted this, although Tony Blair's tame British ones have kept very quiet, as this means, in legal terms, that Northern Ireland is no longer under the sovereignty of the UK parliament. We are obliged, by the Belfast Agreement, to cede the territory as soon as the Loyalist people of Ulster lose an election. This puts Northern Ireland in the same category as Hong Kong was in; we can run it, but only with the knowledge that it is not our sovereign territory, that we only have it on loan.

I stand to be corrected, but I have not seen a single British Nationalist who has even mentioned that their beloved Union of Great Britain and Northern Ireland is an historical term, not a current nation. I have certainly never heard of any attempt to change this.



The England First Party is the most successful English nationalist party. Here former party leader Mark Cotterill attends the East Rural by-election count with candidate Steven Hart and Cllr Michael Johnson. Mr Hart finished third in the by-election, while the BNP was crushed into sixth and last place

But it is not only in the internal make-up of the Union that British Nationalism is a failed concept. English Nationalism makes a superior case when it comes to the international standing of these islands. The UK is, we all realise, a part of the European Union. Our membership of this contemptible body is, at the moment, coming perilously close to exterminating everything British, as well as English. The only way a British Nationalist party can solve this mess is by winning a general election. As has already been shown, they simply cannot do this. English Nationalism, if it receives suitable funding and continues its present growth, can.

If you go back to your constitutional expert and ask him about the UK leaving the EU he will tell you that it is a devilishly awkward thing to do. Even if our British Nationalists win an election, even if they have a mandate to take us out, they will find that they simply cannot do it. We have agreements with Europe; we agree to pay them huge amounts of money. Many of these agreements are time-based, not membership-based, so we would be paying them even after leaving. However, we would not be receiving the rebates that we do. Other agreements are so written that we cannot end them without the permission of the EU parliament. As only the UK and Germany put more into the EU than they take out (i.e. we are funding it!) do you think it is going to be easy to convince them to let us out of our obligations? No, neither do I.

As with so many other things, English Nationalism is the answer here. And a simple answer it is too. The United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland joined the EU. If that United Kingdom no longer exists, then all treaties and agreements are null and void. The home nations split into their separate parts (England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland). Only one can be considered as a successor state, i.e. the state to whom all previous treaties apply. That state must comprise of all or most of the people, government and land-mass of the previous nation. This is how the Russian Federation came to be the successor state to the USSR, and Serbia & Montenegro to Yugoslavia.

However, if England does not want to be the successor state, then no power on Earth can force it on her. And as it was the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland that signed the treaty, and as Northern Ireland would no longer be a part of the new nation of England, it is doubtful if England could be the successor state even if she wanted to be. The result? The day England gains full independence is that day that the whole of the UK is out of the EU – period. And as an article recently published in *The Scotsman* newspaper explains, an independent Scotland re-joining the EU after independence would not be entitled to any of the UK rebate; everything would have to be re-negotiated. With the extra costs involved, it is likely that Scotland, Wales and Ulster, even if they had pro-EU national parliaments, would not be signing up to the European super state.

However, at the moment British Nationalism is actively campaigning for extending the grasp of the European Union! Why do I say that? British Nationalism, as I write this in January 2007, effectively, and unfortunately, means the British National Party. The BNP won a little over 800,000 votes at the last European elections (including mine) and won nil seats. Winning nil seats had been predicted by almost everybody, from all political persuasions, including other British Nationalists, but not from the leadership junta of the BNP. I have read that these 800,000 votes cost UKIP four additional MEP's, all of which were taken by mainstream, pro-EU parties. This has, obviously, weakened the internal opposition to the EU by eight votes. One UKIP MEP (Ashley Mote) has since deserted UKIP to sit as an independent, and has joined the new, Front National-led, 'far right' grouping in

Brussels; the same group that the BNP would have joined. The BNP have made it clear that they will still be standing candidates against him in South East England at the next EU elections.

I am no great fan of UKIP – actually, quite the reverse! – but they are the only anti-EU party that has a snowball's chance in Hell of winning seats at Euro elections. Ashley Mote, as a sitting MEP and with the support of the new Nationalist block at Brussels, is the only exception. While the BNP, as a political party, have every right to contest whatever elections they desire, their participation in the Euro elections only results in more pro-European Union MEP's being elected, and that cannot be good for Nationalism of any stripe.

What is even worse is that the BNP have been quite open about why they put so much money and energy into these elections – so much that in their annual financial report they admitted that they had been unable to campaign effectively for six months as they had been financially crippled by the effort, that it had cost them councillors and that as a direct result, the party has been in serious financial debt for two years (and counting!). It was not to have an effective voice in European affairs (before Rumania and Bulgaria joined the EU they would still have had next to no say in anything); it was not because they had European policy experts who could be more effective on the continent than in the council chamber; it was not so that they could take an active part in European debates; no, it was so that they could get their hands on the rather attractive salaries and perks that go with being an MEP.

So there you have it. I strongly believe that English Nationalism is the only way forward. British Nationalism is a failed concept that has

failed to keep up with modern trends, is becoming more and more isolated and more and more restricted in its potential electorate, and is, albeit unwillingly, starting actually to help the forces of globalisation. British Nationalism had its day, as a mainstream political view up until around 1965, and its faithful adherence to the past and Imperialism resulted in a sudden death when the present caught up with it.

It had a re-birth in the 1970's, as a more militant, and more relevant, British Racial Nationalism, but after a bright display, it also suffered a sudden death. Today it is appearing as an opportunistic British Nationalism, one that changes its policies and directions every few months, which bases itself on protest votes, sound-bites and publicity stunts, and while it is gaining publicity and votes in some areas, it has shown itself to be entirely devoid of real intellectual power or political understanding, and has, almost without exception, failed to maintain anywhere near the number of votes that it gains following its original burst onto the local political scene.


English Nationalism, in contrast, is able to provide real solutions to the real problems facing us, which British Nationalism ignores. English Nationalism is a genuine Nationalism, speaking to members of a forgotten, but very real, nation – England, rather than an artificial creation – Britain. You only have to look at sport to see this. A nation gets behind its sports teams in a way that is only replicated in war. In Britain, the vast majority of people support the English, Scots, Welsh and Northern Irish teams in nearly all sports, to the point where a very large number of people cheer on, not their neighbour's teams if they are not playing themselves, but their opponents. Many, many Scots will support whoever England are playing for the day, and many English fans return the favour.

This is obviously not the sign of a nation united, but rather the sign of a forced colonialism, albeit generally benevolent and mild. It is also a sign that it is time for this enforced co-habitation to end, and for the people of England to embrace genuine English Nationalism.

Alan Winder, Hastings, Sussex



Formerly a UKIP member, now moving towards English nationalism? Ashley Mote MEP has joined the new "far right" Identity, Tradition & Sovereignty group in the European Parliament. BNP leader Nick Griffin has endorsed the new group, so will the BNP stand against Mr Mote, splitting the vote in his South East region?



Movie Review: *Apocalypto*

Released by Icon, December 2006, Running time 139 minutes, MPAA Rating R.

In 2004, Mel Gibson shocked the world with his depiction of the final hours of Jesus with the religious posturing of *The Passion of the Christ*. His next project, *Apocalypto*, was to be even more ambitious. Spoken entirely in Yucatec and depicting a civilization before any contact with Europeans, *Apocalypto* had great potential to explore a world never before visited in the cinema.

The trailer I saw indicated that could have been the case. It was creepy and ominous, but most of all visual and exotic. So I waited till 'half-price night' at the VUE - my local cinema in Blackburn - and went along with a couple of comrades who were visiting from over the border in Yorkshire.

It's a pity there are only a few scenes in the actual movie that convey that sense of wonder in the trailers. Most of the movie is just a tame narrative lacking in imagination and bristling with historical inaccuracies.

The plot is not simplistic, but it is a complete waste of an opportunity to show something really new. Mel Gibson and screenwriter Farhad Safinia not only conform to the most formulaic story elements of the American cinema, their script does not even deliver as a satisfying action-adventure. If that is the premise, there's something fundamentally wrong here. If you are making a film about a pre-Colombian civilization and a culture that has been so far neglected by most mainstream directors, is action the genre you really want to go with?

We are presented with a Mayan village and their inhabitants, mostly hunters. Exposition follows in the most trivial manner - a group of hunters taunting a fellow who has marital problems.

The comedy here is probably intended to endear viewers, but it seems like a cheap way out, instead of providing us with something that would be really interesting.

Apart from them speaking Yucatec and the fact that they are almost naked, there is nothing to indicate that these are Mesoamerican Indians. We get no sense of their culture or values, as well as the fact that they are much taller than Mayans should be!

Anyway, a short time into the movie the village is attacked by another group of Mayans, but these Mayans are much more organised as an army. What they gain in technology they lose in morality, as they are portrayed as heartless and sadistic, killing many of the villagers - including our hero's father - and raping the good looking young women, before taking the young men - and some of the older women - to their city.

Our hero, Jaguar Paw (Rudy Youngblood) manages to hide his pregnant wife and young son in a deep pit, before he too is captured, in the hope he can come back to rescue them later on. With the other poor souls he is then marched off through the forest to the civilized Mayans big city. Some reviewers have said, the sequences in the city are the only reason to see the movie, as it shows what it could have been if Mel Gibson had wanted it to that way. I disagree. The scenes in the city were very powerful, but so was the start of the movie as well as the finish.

The Mayan city is visually exuberant, a marvel in production design. The Mayan aesthetic and architecture is as strange to most of us as anything in a science fiction movie, and probably even more alienating, since we still retain a sense of this being a culture that actually existed in the remote past. It is beautiful, confusing, and terrifying at the same time, and

for ten or so minutes the movie lets itself be completely descriptive. For the first time we see what is most important in a movie about ancient cultures: culture itself.



My wife and I visited the Mayan pyramids at Chichen Itza, while on a vacation to Mexico a few years ago. Watching the movie brought back quite a few memories of that trip, including how steep the pyramids were. Claiming up to the top was fine, but getting down again was a nightmare!

Unfortunately, barbarism is not the absence of culture; quite the opposite. The urban civilized Mayans are highly immoral and have seized the men from Jaguar Paw's village only to sacrifice them to their gods. The biggest flaw of the movie is not its excessive violence, as many have noted, but choosing to tell Jaguar Paw's story over that of the Mayan civilization.

The very elements that should have been the focus become only background to what quickly becomes an action film. The last fifty minutes or so of film is a cat and mouse chase in the jungle, complete with fights, a jaguar, and traps. Two particular scenes even resemble scenes from *Mission Impossible II*.

The ominous title reminds us that this is a time of revelation and possibly the end. It doesn't seem appropriate at all until the very last scene, which I will not discuss. That scene, along with the title, suggest heavily that *Apocalypto* propounds to be a very serious movie about civilizations rather than people. Somewhere along the line, however, Mel Gibson decided that the fate of one not particularly notorious man is of more interest than that of a whole civilization.

Reviewed by Mark Cotterill, Blackburn, Lancashire



H&D editor Mark Cotterill at the Chichen Itza pyramids

The Nationalist Times

The *Nationalist Times* is the monthly newspaper of Middle America. Each issue is packed with news and commentary on national and international events, politics, and the latest social trends.

A one year subscription to *The Nationalist Times* is available for only \$39.00 (or \$75.00 for two years). A one-year subscription for those living outside the U.S. is \$55.00. Or send \$5.00 for a sample copy to; **The Nationalist Times, P O Box 426, Allison Park, PA 15101, USA..** Check out *The Nationalist Times* website at www.anu.org and email them at mail@anu.org

Nationalist Alliance

For more information about the Nationalist Alliance and a copy of their excellent bulletin Axiom, check out their website at - www.allnationalist.com or write to; **BCM Box 999, London, WC1N 3XX** or Tel 0845 2572069

YOU DON'T KNOW ANYTHING!! Until You Know The Ways Of God!

Learn who you are, where you are from, why you are here, and where you are going. Learn who the enemies of our God and our race are.



The key to understanding your Bible and all history, past, present and future, is the Israel-Identity truth that is now sweeping the entire patriotic movement.

For **FREE** Doctrinal Statement of Beliefs defining this amazing truth, plus Christian Identity book and tape lists, write:

Kingdom Identity Ministries

P.O. Box 1021 Harrison, Arkansas 72602 USA

Visit our Web Site at: www.kingidentity.com



Terrific selection of outstanding books, booklets, CDs and tapes on history, race, the 'Jewish Question,' World War II, Third Reich Germany, and much more.



www.noontidepress.com



Noontide Press
P.O. Box 2719
Newport Beach, CA 92659
orders@noontidepress.com

Subscribe to Heritage and Destiny

To make sure of your regular copy of *Heritage and Destiny* why not become a subscriber? The following rates are for the next six issues: USA/Canada \$30.00; British Isles £20.00; Worldwide £24.00/\$35.00.

Bulk Rates

Discounts for bulk purchases are as follows: 10 copies - £15.00; 20 copies - £25.00; 30 copies - £30.00: Postage is free within the UK. For over 30 copies in the UK or US/Worldwide rates please contact our office.

Back Issues

All back issues (numbers 1-27) are also available at £3.00/\$6.00 each (post-paid).

Enamel Pin Badges now available

We now have the following high quality Patriotic enamel pin badges for sale: England First Party; Proud to be Anglo-Saxon; National Front; BNP; Union Jack; Enoch Powell was right; Kilroy was right; England - Love it or leave it; Keep St. George in my heart; English and Proud; England - No Surrender; 100% English; St. George cross English Flag; England flag shield; England - No Asylum Seekers; English Red Rose; English White Rose; English 3 lions; Scottish flag; Scottish Lion; Welsh Red Dragon flag; Northern Ireland flag; Ulster Loyalist; English Bulldog; English Lion; England-Stone Island; English Crusader; English White Rose; Chrome Celtic cross; Chrome Sunwheel; Red, White & Black Celtic Cross; Red & White Celtic Cross; Black & White Celtic Cross; Red, White & Blue Odal Rune; White English Dragon; Crossed English & Union Jack flags, with 3 lions crest; and Crossed Ulster & Confederate flags: £2.50 (\$4.00) each or any five (mixed) for £10.00 (\$16.00) (post-paid).

Patriotic Flags now available

We now have the following good quality 3x5 flags for sale at £10.00/\$15.00 each. ; England Three Lions; English White Dragon; Isle of Man; Jersey; Guernsey; Ulster; St. George Cross; Welsh Red Dragon and Confederate Battle. For overseas orders please add another £2.00 (\$3.00) for postage.

Donations

Income from sales and subscriptions of our magazine are not enough to cover the high cost of production, mailing and administrative costs. We therefore rely on regular donations from our supporters so we can keep going. Every Dollar, Pound or even Euro counts, so please try and send in whatever you can afford.

Payment Details

Checks or Money orders should be made payable to Heritage and Destiny and sent to either; PO Box 331, Blackburn, BB1 2WU, UK or PO Box 6501, Falls Church, VA 22046, USA. Please do not send cash, unless by recorded delivery.

George Lincoln Rockwell and the American Nazi Party - Part IX

On June 28, 1967 Rockwell was returning to the headquarters accompanied by John Harnett, a college student and NSWPP supporter. A long driveway, perhaps a quarter mile in length, led uphill through a heavily wooded area from the street to the headquarters building. Just inside the entrance to the driveway someone had blocked the way with a pile of miscellaneous debris, including rocks, wood, a mailbox and other items. Rockwell assumed that this was a prank, perhaps played by local high school students. As Harnett exited the car to clear the blockade, Rockwell, still seated in the vehicle, was fired upon by someone concealed in the woods. A pistol shot missed Rockwell's head by inches and glanced off the roof of his car. Looking in the direction of the gunfire, Rockwell saw the figures of two men lurking among the trees and bushes. With characteristic bravery, the unarmed Rockwell charged into the woods after the assailants. The cowardly would-be assassins took flight, and Rockwell was unable to catch them. He later commented that although he did not see their faces, as seen from behind one of the men could have been John Patler.

A police report on the attack was filed, but since Rockwell did not see the faces of the assailants, he was unwilling formally to accuse Patler of attempted murder. Matt Koehl recalls that, "When asked afterwards whether it could have been Patler, the Commander did not say a word but maintained a stony silence." Rockwell subsequently sought a permit to carry a concealed weapon with which to defend himself in case of future attacks, but the Arlington County Court turned down his request.

At that point, Rockwell had less than two months left to live. He did not know this, of course, but even if he had, he could hardly have had more productive final days. He completed the text of a

new Party program, entitled *The Ten Points of American National Socialism*. In this document, Rockwell presented an updated and streamlined version of National Socialism that would appeal to ordinary White Americans (and not just to the radical fringe of the political spectrum, which had hitherto been his main constituency). Sadly, this program, like his earlier manifesto, *In Hoc Signo Vinces*,


has since fallen into obscurity, and many of his present-day followers are completely unaware of it. In the first part of August he produced the premier issue of *White Power* newspaper, and he put the final touches on his second book, also called *White Power*. As soon as the paper was printed he sent every available man at the headquarters to Chicago to assist in its mass door-to-door distribution in neighborhoods which had followed his leadership during the White revolt of the previous year. Only a skeleton crew - Maj. Matt Koehl and two troopers - remained with him in Arlington.

On August 6, the Party scored a major victory in Los Angeles, when thirty Stormtroopers under the command of Capt. Ralph Forbes, reinforced by members of the Iron Cross Motorcycle Club, attacked a communist "peace" march on Wilshire Boulevard. A bloody street battle ensued. There were multiple injuries and arrests on both sides, and the march ground to a halt. Observers commented that the scene was more reminiscent of 1930's Berlin than 1960's Southern California. The clash received worldwide publicity. Morale was high throughout the Party, and the attitude was


"nothing can stop us now!"

On Friday, August 25, Maj. Koehl and the two other men remaining in Arlington were scheduled to hand-deliver *White Power* newspaper to the offices of every Senator and Congressman on Capitol Hill in Washington. The hope was that some outraged politician would issue a statement denouncing the paper, thus generating a little publicity for the Movement. At the last moment, however, Rockwell postponed the distribution to do a quick load of wash at the laundromat a block away from the headquarters. He drove to the laundromat, but once there he realized that in his haste he had forgotten the bleach. He got in his car and began to back out of the parking space when an assailant perched on the roof of the building fired two pistol shots at him. One shot struck Rockwell in the middle of his chest. Rockwell, a combat veteran from World War II, instinctively ducked down to avoid further gunfire. Mortally wounded, he crawled across the front seat and got out of the vehicle on the passenger side. With his last remaining energy, he confronted his attacker on the roof - and then fell dead on the pavement.

Back at the headquarters, Maj. Koehl and the others were waiting impatiently for the Commander's return. A telephone call came in claiming to be from a reporter who wanted the Party's comment on the murder of George Lincoln Rockwell. Assuming the call to be yet



Rockwell's car after the assassination, clearly showing two bullet holes from John Patler's Mauser pistol



The scene of Rockwell's assassination outside the Econ-o-wash laundry in Arlington, Virginia



John Patler (left) with George Lincoln Rockwell as police approached to arrest the ANP leader at the start of the Chicago White People's March in September 1966. Patler was Rockwell's assassin less than a year later

another prank, Koehl hung up without responding. Another call came in seconds later, purporting to be from a different reporter, which Koehl also dismissed. Then there was a third call, in which the caller mentioned the laundromat on Wilson Boulevard. Koehl had a sinking feeling. He realized that something was wrong, and he sent a trooper down the hill to get the details. The man soon came back with the dreadful news.

The police apprehended John Patler a short distance from the assassination site. At the arraignment few days later, an Australian National-Socialist named Eric Wenberg attempted to assault him in the courtroom. The sheriff's deputies saved the visibly shaken Patler from Wenberg's wrath. Patler was subsequently convicted by a jury of second degree murder. He served a scant seven years in prison.

Apparently the sentencing judge felt that the cold blooded murder of an unarmed man was not that serious a crime - if the man was George Lincoln Rockwell. A lingering mystery concerning the assassination is the question of how Patler knew that Rockwell would be at the laundromat at that particular day and time. This article is not the place to go into a detailed examination of all of the particulars concerning the assassination, but it should be noted that the evidence strongly suggests that Patler did not act alone, although he was the only person charged in the crime.

Rockwell's assassination was the lead news story on every television station and newspaper in the United States, and in many foreign media as well. There was mourning for Rockwell and dismay at his loss throughout the White Nationalist movement worldwide. In Louisiana, a sixteen-year-old high school student named David Duke summed up the mood of the moment when he called a local radio station and commented, "The greatest American who ever lived has been shot down and killed."

Rockwell was a nineteen-and-a-half year veteran of the U.S. Navy, and he had served his country honorably in two wars. As such, he was entitled to a military burial in a national cemetery. National-Socialists came from far and wide to attend the funeral. Matt Koehl, now the NSWPP's National Leader, had received permission from the Dept. of Defense to bury Rockwell in Culpeper National Cemetery in Virginia.

Koehl and an honor guard of uniformed Stormtroopers led the funeral procession, which swelled to hundreds of people as ordinary local citizens joined in with the National-Socialists to pay their respects. However, despite the assurances given by the Dept. of Defense, the procession was barred at the cemetery gates by a company of military policemen under the command of Major General Carl Turner. Koehl was told that the burial would not be permitted if the Swastika or any other NS symbols were displayed. There was an angry confrontation between Koehl and the general, and Party stalwarts began to scuffle with the military policemen. Some arrests were made, and the funeral procession was turned back. Rockwell's remains were subsequently cremated, and to this day remain in the custody of Matt Koehl and the New Order, which is the successor organization to the NSWPP.

If Rockwell's supporters were distraught at his death, Rockwell's enemies were nothing short of jubilant. *Time* magazine published a snide obituary entitled "The Party Is Over." A few months on, *The New York Times* carried a long, mocking article "Rockwell's Nazis Lost without Him," in which they claimed that the American National-Socialist movement was dead and buried.

As the saying goes, the reports of the Party's death were greatly exaggerated. To be sure, the NSWPP went through a rough period for a year or so following the assassination. The Party lost the headquarters on Hatemonger Hill, and for over a year had to operate out of a private residence until a new public headquarters could be secured.

At first the Party membership rallied around Matt Koehl, who initially took the title of "National Leader" (rather than "Commander"). However, it was not long before various factions had broken away, each claiming to be the true heir of Rockwell's legacy. But under Koehl, ably assisted at first by his chief lieutenant, Dr. William L. Pierce, the NSWPP grew larger, stronger and more influential than before.

In 1984, Koehl reorganized the NSWPP as the New Order, which continues to this day as the lineal descendant of Rockwell's party. Over time, new shoots were also sent out from the original organization. Although some of them are not formally National-Socialist in their orientation, these groups all draw their ultimate inspiration from Rockwell. They include (but are not limited to) the National Alliance, the National Socialist Movement, the National Vanguard, the Nationalist Coalition, and a host of others. They flourish and continue to spread Rockwell's message to this day.

In his autobiography, *This Time the World*, Lincoln Rockwell wrote the prophetic words which for his present-day followers stand as his epitaph: "I knew that I would not live to see the victory which I would make possible. But I would not die before I had made that victory certain."

Martin Kerr, Falls Church, Virginia

Editor's Note: This is the final instalment of Martin Kerr's article on George Lincoln Rockwell and the American Nazi Party, which is part of his series on the history of the racial nationalist movement in America. The series will continue in a future issue with an article on the National States Rights Party.

Letters From Readers



Sir - Congratulations on another good issue of *Heritage and Destiny*. Reading Alan Winder's piece on Nick Griffin I was especially interested in the idea of an organized "who is who" online data base, which he says was not followed up by the BNP. I have suggested this idea to several people in the past (including Nick Griffin if memory serves me well) without any results to date; but why does Alan Winder need the BNP or any other political party to kick off?

I have neither the time nor contacts to make a job of it - sounds as though Alan Winder has thought. Why doesn't he just do it? Once he gets started and word goes around, contributions will start coming in. A database with well researched (libel proof-facts only, no opinions) information about persons of relevance for good or bad reason to our cause would be invaluable. It is one of those things, not a great priority in the short term which has growing importance the longer term one's perspective is. Go for it, Alan.

Yours sincerely,

Michael Francis Walker, Cologne, Germany



Sir - Thanks for another excellent issue of H&D. By the way, the reason the New York Cosmos flopped was that they were over-hyped. The TV ads made it seem that every game was going to be non-stop Pele upside down bicycle kicks. Then the first big game at the Meadowlands was a very dull nil-nil (or maybe 1-1) draw and the response from the spectators and press was devastating. I don't think the soccer league ever recovered from that. I can't remember anyone ever suggesting we catch a game or even discussing soccer except to say they thought it was too slow moving without enough goals. Very American, I know, but that was the deal.

Yours faithfully,

John Williams, Wolverhampton, Staffordshire



Sir - John Bean is a columnist for the British National Party. He was an inconsequential figure in early nationalist circles, then dropped out of sight for many years before emerging again as author of a book purporting to be a history of nationalism in the UK.

The book made little impact; its tendentious and self-serving account of events and personalities deservedly eclipsed by John Tyndall's definitive work *The Eleventh Hour*. And the fact that it got indulgent reviews by people unfriendly to the nationalist cause is warning enough to readers. His antipathy to John Tyndall made him a welcome recruit to Nick Griffin's entourage, and he has since dutifully served as an apologist and publicity agent in his sporadic BNP articles.

What little remained of his reputation as a reporter and commentator on nationalist matters is unlikely to survive his latest outburst on the BNP website. Detached from its BNP context it could easily be taken as a page from *Searchlight* or any other rabidly left-wing publication. The target of his bilious and hysterical attack is *Heritage and Destiny*, an elsewhere highly-regarded nationalist journal which has recently featured a damning indictment of Nick Griffin's impact on the electoral fortunes, membership and finances of the BNP.

Veteran nationalists have been appalled at Griffin's egocentric antics, paranoid harassment and expulsion of critics and potential rivals, and bewildering shifts of BNP policy, ever since he took over the party leadership. It is certainly clear enough that whatever



successes the BNP has enjoyed recently owe nothing at all to Griffin's 'leadership' and everything to nationalist stalwarts up and down the country. Indeed, from Alan Winder's thoroughgoing account in *Heritage and Destiny* it seems that any BNP member or local branch obviously on the up is at once the target of Griffin's destructive interference, followed by a quite unexpectedly disappointing election result.

Not surprisingly, the ever-growing numbers transferring their allegiance to other nationalist groups have concluded that with friends like Griffin the BNP doesn't need enemies. As John Tyndall long ago said, the nationalist movement faced its gravest risks when it seemed to be making significant progress; with the likelihood that its opponents would then seek to subvert its leadership and destroy its cohesion from within. Was he wrong?

Yours sincerely,

F. Kimbal Johnson, Nottingham, England



Sir, I was unaware of *Heritage and Destiny* until a copy arrived uninvited by post but, now that I've seen it, I feel compelled to draw your attention to the damage that the extreme pro-Nazi, anti-Zionist faction is doing to the efforts of ordinary patriots like me.

British patriotism, nationalism, call it what you will, is all about being pro-British. The only things we're inherently against are those which from time to time threaten our nation and Britishness. "Britishness"? I've never seen anything approaching a satisfactory definition of "Britishness". In my opinion, the most important characteristic of "Britishness" is the freedom of each individual to live his life in the way he chooses while defending the right of his fellow countrymen to be different, even if he may not agree with them.

A good example of the application of this principle is our Jewish population. They're unquestionably different, but they've been here for centuries and, despite perpetually-recurring Zionist conspiracy theories, they've never tried to dominate us. Crucially, when push has come to shove, they've stood shoulder-to-shoulder with the rest of us Brits to defend our freedom.

Contrast our Moslem population: they're different as well, but that's not a problem in itself. The problem with the Moslems is that they have every intention of dominating us, whether immediately by force of arms or by democratic process when they outnumber us in the longer term. What's more, they have no respect at all for our freedom. They take advantage of it to further their own aims but, if they get where they want to be, they'll force us to toe their line. That is why the Moslems are a most immediate and pressing threat to our nation.

Our chief problem in combating the problem of the Moslems is that the Lib-Lab-Con hegemony of the political centre not only refuses to tackle it, they deny that there is a problem and, by force

of law and the unwritten rules of Political Correctness, they try to stop us raising the issue. The most important tool in their hands is to brand each of us who tries to speak out as "racist", "Fascist" or "Nazi". This isn't true of most of us but the propaganda of the extreme right lends credence to the lie.

To conclude, I'm not advocating that the far right be gagged. I respect their freedom even though I don't agree with them. However I do wish they'd shut up. By promoting their irrelevant views on Zionism and other races which are no threat, they're creating insurmountable difficulties for themselves and the rest of us who want to force the Government to take action to meet the real danger of our rapidly-growing Moslem population.

Yours faithfully,

Richard Rawsthorn, Burnley, Lancashire



Sir - Why do you suppose it is that after the hair raising allegations a year ago about conspiracy to murder and another story more recently about a BNP councillor trying to organise another murder, nobody as far as I know has been even questioned by the police about either matter, let alone charged!?

A cynic might guess that the authorities are protecting their own - what do you say? And how come that my hero Peter Rushmore, who I think would make an excellent leader of the BNP (or some replacement thereafter), has had nothing at all to say in subsequent issues as to just what he supposes is going on? Maybe you and I and he could speak about these puzzling and troubling developments - or rather non-developments?

Warmest regards,

Tony Young, London, England

Peter Rushmore replies: The main source for the allegations to which Mr Young refers is Nick Griffin's former head of security, Joe Owens. Though Mr Owens has himself been accused of many things, most people in the movement (myself included) would trust his word above Mr Griffin's or Mr Lecomber's. I understand that Mr Owens is writing a book about his political and other experiences, which will no doubt shed more light on the murder plot allegations. The lack of any official action on the matter is indeed significant - like Sherlock Holmes's perception of the dog that failed to bark.



Sir - Peter Rushmore's observations on the holocaust lie at Auschwitz are quite correct. The plaque which said about 4.5 million jews were murdered there has been taken down. The new plaque says about 1.5 million. Now that is about 3 million less than the original figures. Since Germany paid the state of Israel 6 million times \$5000 as reparations, does that mean Israel will pay back 3 million times \$5000? I would suggest don't hold your breath waiting for that!

For Race and Nation,

Harry Mullin, Glasgow, Scotland

Peter Rushmore replies: The holocaust industry does seem to have developed a new form of advanced mathematics, by which six million minus three million can equal six million. Readers with an internet connection can visit the YouTube website and see a televised debate between Lady Michele Renouf and Professor Norman Finkelstein, in which Lady Renouf makes exactly this point. Unsurprisingly Professor Finkelstein fails to reply!



Sir - Has John Bean finally gone senile or does his hypocrisy know no bounds? Having read his Nationalist notebook column in December's *Identity* magazine - 'Every dog has its fleas' - I did not know whether to laugh or cry. First he reaffirms his support for all things Jewish, referring back to a previous article penned by himself entitled 'Judeo-obsessives' which basically sought to negate the fact that organised Zionism has always been at the forefront of the attack on White Nationalist groups. In the next breath he mentions Gerry Gable and Michael Zuckerton, both leaders of this very activity, yet conveniently neglects to mention they are both part of the tribe. This comes from a man who was once a true friend and colleague of Colin Jordan, who rubbed shoulders with Lincoln Rockwell on his visit to the UK, who marched with the first BNP under Sunwheel flags and to top it all freely admits in his book that most opposition to Nationalist activities was down to the Jews - The 62 Group and the Yellow Star Movement just to mention two! Perhaps this nonsense will wash with the new Johnny come lately 'Nationalist' but any veteran Nationalist will know the truth. As for Bean's assumption that H&D is some kind of *Searchlight* inspired satellite publication, I say it's strange this was not being said when as head of the AF-BNP Mark Cotterill was serving a purpose well and financing some of the BNP election campaigns.

Yours for Race and Nation,

Danny Strove, Tower Hamlets, London.



Sir - I was totally bemused and depressed by Sharon Ebanks's letter regarding a "Scotland First Party" as well as the ludicrous ideas of a Welsh and Ireland First party due to "our in-bred cultural sectarianism". I take personal exception to this. I am a Morrison of the Clan Morrison on my father's side and an O'Brien (from the famous - Brian Boru, the progenitor of all of the O'Briens, who lived around the 8th century AD and died in battle at age 74.) He was the first High King of Ireland. I am immensely proud of my Celtic and English ancestry (I had a Yorkshire great grandmother from York). This narrow minded blindness threatens the holy union of the UK. We might be a collection of peoples but we are ALL Britons!

Best regards,

Eddy Morrison, Leeds, Yorkshire



Sir, I am disgusted with your constant attempts to split the nationalist vote. What was the point standing in Burnley when you had no chance at all. We fared far better than your party will ever do (as we proved getting so many votes in the Brunshaw ward by-election) so it will be pointless you standing anyone in Burnley in May. You were lucky to get two elected last year. If you had any activists that were half as good as me (I even won an award for it) you would stick to Blackburn and Darwen. Nick Griffin will be Prime Minister by 2010 you mark my words. God save the Queen.

Adrian Goldman, Burnley, Lancashire



Movement News Update

Regular readers will know that the BNP has not won a council by-election since September 2004, when Dan Kelley enjoyed a short-lived triumph in Barking's Goresbrook ward. To an extent (even more than every other political party) the BNP is dependent on fate handing it suitable by-election opportunities, since the vast majority of wards are not realistic targets.

Early 2007 saw no fewer than three winnable by-elections for the party, one in a new but very promising ward, the other two in wards which had previously elected BNP councillors. These were the last chance for a BNP victory before the main May elections.

Before we got to these exciting contests, there was a more routine by-election on January 25th for the Brampton & Gisland ward of **Cumbria** County Council, a safe Conservative seat. BNP candidate David Fraser finished last of three candidates with 88 votes (7.5%).

The Cumbria result did nothing to change my opinion that serious BNP advances in rural England are a long way off, but all credit to Mr Fraser and his campaign team for taking on the arduous and thankless task of contesting this by-election.

On February 8th BNP candidate Alwyn Deacon was thought to be in with a real chance of winning the Bede ward in Bedworth, part of **Nuneaton** Borough Council. This white working class ward looked like typical West Midlands nationalist territory, even though the BNP hadn't contested the seat before. Party officials including Coventry organiser Chris Turner and the East Midlands election officer Wayne McDermott were drafted in to run the campaign, which saw dozens of BNP activists tramping the streets of Bedworth every day.

A highly professional effort by the BNP really frightened Labour and their *Searchlight* allies, who seemed convinced towards the end of the campaign that Alwyn Deacon was going to win. In fact he came a good second with 546 votes (31.2%), just 112 votes behind Labour, and the saddest thing from a BNP perspective is that almost two-thirds of the electorate didn't bother to vote.

The other big story from the Bedworth campaign was the utter humiliation of UKIP, whose candidate lived hundreds of miles away from the ward and failed to deliver a single leaflet. Amazingly UKIP polled just eight votes, fewer than the ten who must have signed the candidate's nomination paper. Even the tiny English Democrats managed a better result, polling 75 votes (4.3%).

In some ways UKIP's result in the simultaneous Bensham Manor ward of **Croydon** was even worse, since this was a ward which UKIP had fought previously and gained respectable results, but at the by-election (which had no BNP candidate) the UKIP candidate polled just 40 votes (1.5%), down from 7.9% at the previous election.

By the end of the month, new UKIP leader Nigel Farage was facing even more trouble, with serious allegations of financial irregularities which could spell the end of his party.

A second and even better chance for a BNP victory came the very next week on February 15th in the Brunshaw ward of **Burnley**. BNP candidate Paul McDevitt was involved in a three-way fight with Labour and the Liberal Democrats, with the Conservatives certain to finish

bottom of the poll.

The important thing to realise about Brunshaw is that ever since the ward was created in 2002 the BNP had always either won the ward or finished runner-up. That makes it by anyone's reckoning one of the best possible BNP targets that could ever come up in a by-election.

In May 2002, on the night when three Burnley BNP councillors were elected for the first time, Simon Bennett finished runner-up in Brunshaw with 919 votes (31.2%). Twelve months later Maureen Stowe won Brunshaw with 715 votes (37%), but quickly proved unsuitable. The fallout from Cllr Stowe's resignation, and other issues that were undermining Burnley BNP, damaged the 2004 campaign in Brunshaw but Michael Pound still finished runner-up with 510 votes (22.1%). There were no borough elections in 2005, and by last May the BNP had recovered some ground in Brunshaw, Tony Locke finishing runner-up with 606 votes (28.9%).

This electoral history in Brunshaw needs to be understood if we are to avoid being misled by the BNP's spin doctors, who portray every result as a triumphant, ringing endorsement of the party leadership and its stooges.

Make no mistake, Brunshaw was the BNP's best chance of gaining a seat at a by-election since September 2004, but the outcome was another defeat. Paul McDevitt finished second with 538 votes (27.1%), but was a massive 337 votes behind the Liberal Democrat winner. So in terms of votes, percentages, and distance behind the winner, this by-election result was the BNP's second worst ever performance in Brunshaw - the worst being the 2004 election overshadowed by Cllr Stowe's resignation.

On the same day as the Brunshaw by-election the England First Party ventured into **Burnley's** Daneshouse with Stoneyholme ward, one of the most Asian-dominated council wards in Britain. No racial nationalist party had ever previously contested this area, but the EFP's candidate Steven Smith and election agent Simon Bennett, previously the architects of Burnley BNP in its glory days, decided to give the embattled white residents of the ward a chance to vote for a pro-white candidate. The by-election was called after two local Liberal Democrats were jailed for election fraud. The Labour and Liberal Democrat candidates represented different factions of the Asian community - the Lib Dem was secretary of one of the largest local mosques.

Clearly Steven stood no chance at all of winning here, and his campaign team (including the present author) were very happy with the result - 141 votes (7%) and well in front of the Conservative candidate, the only other white standing, who polled only 35 votes (1.7%). Steven's courage in contesting such alien territory will make him an even stronger candidate in May, when he will be EFP candidate for the winnable Cliviger and Worsthorne ward.

Another aspect of the Burnley elections worth noticing is that the Asian ward recorded a 52.4% turnout, remarkably high for a local by-election, while the very white BNP target ward of Brunshaw had a 40% turnout, and most white wards, even when they are



Steven Smith (left) took the racial nationalist message into one of England's most Asian dominated wards when he contested Burnley's Daneshouse with Stoneyholme ward for the England First Party on February 15th; on the same day Paul McDevitt contested Burnley's Brunshaw ward for the BNP, losing by 337 votes in one of the BNP's strongest by-election targets

contested by the BNP, have turnouts even lower than 40%. Clearly white voters are just not motivated to defend their interests at the ballot box to the same extent as their non-white neighbours.

Racial nationalist parties have never achieved significant results in Wales, and the BNP is no exception, although the party acquired a member on the Mostyn Community Council in Flintshire with the defection of Mike Howard in August 2006. The decision to contest the Penyfford ward on **Flintshire** County Council at another February 15th by-election was perhaps influenced by the forthcoming Welsh Assembly elections. In any case, while the local campaign team is to be congratulated on their initiative, the result was never going to be impressive. BNP candidate Dallus Weaver finished fifth out of six candidates with 53 votes (5.5%).

(The BNP will field four candidates in each of the five Welsh regions at the **Welsh Assembly** elections in May. The main purpose seems to be to obtain free mailshots and radio and television broadcasts. Strangely the party chairman Nick Griffin will be contesting the **South Wales West** region, some distance from his home in Welshpool. Even with the proportional system used for this party list section of the election, the BNP is very unlikely to win any Assembly seats.)

Following a credible result in Bedworth and a heavy defeat in Burnley, the BNP had a third shot at a winnable council seat on February 22nd when Thomas Bates contested the Illingworth and Mixenden ward on **Calderdale** council, which is centred on Halifax.

This is the BNP's strongest ward on what Nick Griffin once regarded as the BNP's strongest council. The party chairman once recorded a Christmas video message at Halifax town hall alongside local organiser Cllr Richard Mulhall, predicting that this would be the first area where the BNP would take control of the council.

The political climate has certainly changed in Calderdale since then, but this was still one of the most winnable by-elections that could have cropped up. Early in 2003 Adrian Marsden won the old Mixenden seat at a by-election to become the BNP's first Calderdale councillor, and at the May 2003 election Richard Mulhall won the old Illingworth ward, with Jane Shooter finishing runner-up in Mixenden.

Boundary changes combined Illingworth with the Mixenden council estate to create the new Illingworth and Mixenden ward in advance of the May 2004 elections. This new ward was clearly at the time the strongest BNP prospect in the country. By this time Conservative councillor Geoffrey Wallace had defected to the BNP. Cllr Wallace and Cllr Mulhall duly won two of the three Illingworth and Mixenden seats at the all out 2004 election.

In May 2006 Cllr Wallace was re-elected with a 235 vote BNP majority over Labour, but late last year the local BNP was overtaken by scandal with the arrest of Cllr Richard Mulhall for benefit fraud. Cllr Mulhall was found guilty on four counts and narrowly escaped a jail sentence. As Cllr Mulhall was being sentenced, the Illingworth and Mixenden by-election was precipitated by the death of the ward's only non-BNP councillor, Labour's Thomas McElroy.

With this historical background, the BNP entered the February 22nd by-election seriously handicapped by the Mulhall trial, but still hopeful of gaining a seat in what was still a BNP stronghold. In the event Thomas Bates finished a good second with 1,034 votes



Two well-known English candidates will be among the BNP slate for the Welsh Assembly elections in May - Nick Griffin (above) in South West Wales, and Simon Darby (below) in North Wales



(35.9%), only seventy votes behind Labour.

Despite the Mulhall trial, the BNP polled almost exactly the same vote at the by-election as Geoffrey Wallace obtained when holding the seat in May 2006 with 1,075 votes (35.6%). The major difference is that Labour massively improved their vote, another example of the increasing trend for "anti-fascist" campaigns to outperform the BNP in the most important target wards.

After all the excitement of the February campaigns, the BNP still has to face the uncomfortable truth that the party has not won a by-election since September 2004. It is now virtually certain that this will remain the case at least until after the May elections this year.

A very different by-election was held on March 8th in the Speke-Garston ward of **Liverpool** City Council. This is an almost all white working class ward, part of which (as St Mary's ward) was contested in 2002 and 2003 under different boundaries by the BNP's Ray Malone. This year's BNP candidate, Steven Greenhalgh, finished a poor third with 281 votes (7.7%), a massive 1,703 votes behind the winning Labour candidate.

On the one hand it has to be admitted that, as the BNP website rather sourly noted, Speke-Garston "is a ward where voting Labour appears to be inherited rather than based on rational decision making." On the other hand it is also a

ward where the BNP really ought to be scoring higher than 7.7%. After all, the EFP managed 7% in one of the most Asian-dominated wards in England; Speke-Garston by contrast is one of the whitest wards in England!


This issue's *Movement News* has concentrated mostly on the BNP, partly because significant developments which we cannot yet write about are happening in the England First Party and allied groups - much more in the next issue about this. We will also report on the 40th anniversary of the National Front, which will be celebrated in London in late March - a major event in the racial nationalist calendar.

In Issue 26 I reported on the disturbing news that the South African intelligence service informant Arthur Kemp had been approached to become a paid business adviser to the BNP. Fuller details of the Kemp-BNP connection have now been made public and have turned into yet another own goal that will be endlessly exploited by the party's enemies.

When I first met Kemp in 1996 he introduced me to his friend Lambertus Nieuwhof, known as "Bep", who was one of a group of South Africans who in 1992 planted a bomb at the Calvary Church School in Nelspruit, capital of the Eastern Transvaal.

Bizarrely the BNP decided to entrust Kemp and Nieuwhof with some of the party's most sensitive operations. Kemp is a proven state informant who was allowed to escape murder charges in South Africa; his colleague Nieuwhof mysteriously escaped with a suspended sentence after being convicted for the Nelspruit school bombing. His Herefordshire based internet company now hosts BNP pages including new sites for Barking and Dagenham and the Solidarity trade union. Belfast political veteran David Kerr must now be reconsidering his involvement with Solidarity, since he will be especially embarrassed to be associated with terrorist bombers and state informants. It is now becoming very obvious that the entire Kemp-Nieuwhof involvement with the BNP was designed from the start to divide and discredit the party.

Peter Rushmore, Manchester, England



DVD Review - *The BNP Chronicles*

Volume 3: *Forged in the Heat of Fire*

Volume 4: *Breakthrough*

Produced by Mad Dogs & Englishmen Productions, these DVDs are available for £13 each from PO Box 52185, London E2 OWS. Cheques/Postal Orders/Money Orders payable to D Ettridge. Foreign Orders add 15% to cover postage.

Another three excellent double DVDs in an excellent series. The first, Volume 3, has a running time of 2 hours 59 minutes and mainly deals with the establishment and Left Wing efforts, both legal and otherwise, to close down the perfectly legitimate BNP Bookshop situated at the time in Welling, Kent.

Although some of the marches against the Bookshop were covered in an earlier volume, this one is mainly concerned with the largest and most violent, culminating in the Welling Riot when some 25,000 converged on Welling after being whipped into a frenzy by Anti-Nazi League National Organiser, Paul Holborow(itz).

Amazingly, 99% of these were white self-haters. Every hue and shade across the Left Wing spectrum were present and the violence, mainly aimed at the Police, has to be seen to be believed, although such revolutionary acts as overturning cars, destroying residential property and looting shops, were also par for the course.

The existence of the Bookshop (and indeed Derek Beackon's election victory) was the catalyst that really put the BNP on the map, making it a household name and asserting itself as Britain's premier Nationalist Party.

This was mainly due to the valiant efforts of Richard Edmonds, one time Deputy Leader and National Organiser, who selflessly paid for the Bookshop out of his own pocket and lived on the premises at great personal risk to himself.

All the more ironic that some eight years after the interloper, Griffin, became Chairman, Richard finds his immense talent stifled and himself relegated to ordinary rank and file member. Surely an indictment of Griffin's paranoia and egocentrism?

What really comes to light in this volume is the Left's cynical use of the furore surrounding supposed race attacks on blacks and Asians as a recruitment tool for their proletarian army. An example of this cynicism is when one black Police Officer was called "traitor", attacked and had an arm broken by the hysterical red mob and in his own words says "There were no black people there - not that I could see anyway!"

The coverage of the Welling riot makes an interesting contrast to the Bloody Sunday demonstration in central London, covered on the same disc. A pro-IRA march from Trafalgar Square to Kilburn was allowed to go ahead, even while the IRA was murdering Brit-

ish soldiers and civilians. More than three hundred protestors from the BNP and allied groups were arrested merely for turning up to oppose these apologists for terrorism.

A very unusual episode of *World in Action* - traditionally very hostile to the BNP - concludes Volume 3. This exposes some of the extreme leftist groups such as Class War which, the programme claims, "use anti-fascism as an excuse" for general mayhem and attacks on the police. The early 1990s was a period of serious "anti-fascist" violence, as discussed in Peter Rushmore's review of the book *No Retreat* in *H&D* issue 15.

Moving on to Volume 4, *Breakthrough*, which a little confusingly is in two parts, both being double DVDs. This Volume commemorates and examines the BNP's first ever election victory in 1993 and the ensuing media frenzy, which resulted in months of worldwide publicity for the party. Aptly named, this really was the breakthrough, showing once and for all that a vote for a "Far-Right" party was not a wasted one.

Millwall ward on Tower Hamlets Borough Council comprised the embattled white neighbourhood of the Isle of Dogs, notorious for some of London's worst housing problems. Local whites found themselves at the bottom of the queue for council housing, and as today in areas like Barking and Dagenham, this proved fertile ground for a professional BNP campaign.

There is excellent footage demonstrating the political climate leading up to the win - Asian mob violence and race attacks on whites and the resulting tit-for-tat revenge attacks. Also, interviews with disgruntled East Enders, Party officials, the plethora of traitors and, of course, our honest speaking first ever Councillor. This win deeply disturbed the liberal elite who just could not understand why and how at least one section of the British public had awoken from a very deep slumber.

For me, this volume is a testament to the bravery and commitment of both Michael Davidson, who lost the use of an eye while leafletting and Mike Newland, who was nearly

crippled at the hands of the Jewish community "security" organisation.

Not forgetting Derek himself who endured untold disruption in his personal life for being Britain's most notorious Nationalist.

Both volumes? Absolutely brilliant and I can't wait to see the next two, "Britain awake" and "Tomorrow belongs to us" which I am told are out in April.

Reviewed David Ryan, Newham, London

